



**Statement of Consultation  
For Regulation 12 (a)**

**Eastbourne Park Supplementary  
Planning Document (SPD)**

**February 2012**

**COPYRIGHT**

The contents of this document must not be copied or reproduced in whole or in part without the written consent of Eastbourne Borough Council

---

## CONTENTS

	<u>Page No</u>
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. WHO WAS CONSULTED AND HOW WERE THEY CONSULTED?	3
3. SUMMARY OF THE MAIN ISSUES RAISED AND HOW THESE HAVE SUBSEQUENTLY BEEN ADDRESSED	8
4. CONCLUSION	18

Annex A: Table Showing Summary of Representations, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response

Annex B: Table Providing a Schedule of Proposed Changes to the Eastbourne Park SPD

---

## 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This statement of consultation has been prepared in accordance with Regulation 12(a) of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012. It supports the Eastbourne Park Supplementary Planning Document (SPD), which has been published for adoption.
- 1.2 In preparing Supplementary Planning Documents (SPDs) local planning authorities are required to publish a statement (in accordance with regulation 12(a) setting out:
- The persons the local planning authority consulted when preparing the supplementary planning document;
  - A summary of the main issues raised by those persons; and
  - How those issues have been addressed in the supplementary planning document.
- 1.3 The Eastbourne Park SPD has been prepared by Eastbourne Borough Council. It was published for consultation commencing on 4 November, 2011 until Friday 27 January, 2012. Supplementary planning documents or SPDs are defined in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) as *“Documents which add further detail to the policies in the Local Plan [in this case the Eastbourne Core Strategy Local Plan]. They can be used to provide further guidance for development on specific sites, or on particular issues, such as design. Supplementary planning documents are capable of being a material consideration in planning decisions but are not part of the development plan.”*
- 1.4 The SPD has been prepared to set out a clear strategy for the future management of Eastbourne Park and will be used to guide development and in the determination of planning applications. It seeks to ensure the Park develops as an ecological, archaeological and leisure resource to benefit visitors and residents, whilst at the same time maintaining its function as an important flood storage area. It establishes a series of key principles and concepts for each part of the Park and provides greater

detail to support Policy D11: Eastbourne Park of the Eastbourne Core Strategy Local Plan.

- 1.5 The vision for Eastbourne Park will ensure an effective and sustainable balance of environmental, social and economic benefits. Within the areas of highest natural and historical value, the quality of the Park will be conserved and enhanced and this will be accompanied by the development of a unique visitor and educational centre. In other parts of the Park, appropriate outdoor leisure and recreational uses will be permitted and sustainable tourism will be encouraged. This will also involve making the Park more accessible through the creation of new footpaths and cycleways and the creation of a series of ecological green corridors.

## **2. WHO WAS CONSULTED AND HOW WERE THEY CONSULTED?**

### **Pre-Consultation**

- 2.1. Extensive consultation was undertaken during the preparation of the Eastbourne Park SPD. During this period, the Council engaged with landowners, developers, public sector organisations, local schools and local residents.
- 2.2. The preparation of the SPD was led by a Steering Group consisting of a cross-party group of councillors. A working group was also formed to provide more detailed information to inform the development of objectives and proposals. This consisted of Council Officers from a wide range of departments. The Steering and Working Groups also undertook visits to other wetlands including potential wetland management models that could be followed in Eastbourne Park.
- 2.2 A Stakeholder Event was held at the Winter Gardens, Eastbourne on Friday 3 July, 2009 to provide local organisations, landowners and other key stakeholders with an opportunity to inform the development of options for Eastbourne Park. The event was organised to provide local organisations and interested individuals an early opportunity to inform the selection of preferred options and objectives for what was originally proposed an Area Action Plan<sup>1</sup>. 110 letters were sent out to statutory organisations, local organisations and landowners, inviting representatives to come and discuss issues and options. The event was attended by twenty-eight stakeholders representing a range of organisations.
- 2.3 West Rise Junior School and Chris Greatorex a local archaeologist provided some archaeological exhibits at the event including reconstructions and replica artefacts from the Shinewater excavations dating from the Late Bronze Age.

---

<sup>1</sup> A decision was made to progress the document as a Supplementary Planning Document rather than an Area Action Plan following discussions with the Government Office for the South East.

- 2.4 Individual meetings and consultations have been held with key landowners including East Sussex County Council and the Trustees of the Chatsworth Settlement as well as other landowners with smaller parcels of land within the Park. In March, 2011, landowners were asked to complete a form setting out their short, medium and long-term plans for the land within their ownership.
- 2.5 The form requested individual details about the sites including the location, site area, current use together with requests for information about any particular constraints or other relevant information that would help inform the preparation of the document. The feedback helped inform the overall strategy and provided an indication of the types of uses that landowners envisaged for their respective parcels of land.
- 2.6 Throughout the preparation of the SPD, internal discussions and meetings have taken place. An Eastbourne Park SPD Officers' Working Group (including officers from a range of departments and disciplines) was established to help in the preparation of the document. Frequent meetings also took place between key officers and councillors on the Eastbourne Park Steering Group and the Local Development Framework Steering Group.

### **Formal Consultation**

- 2.7 Eastbourne Borough Council's Cabinet approved the draft Eastbourne Park SPD for public consultation, on 19 October, 2011. It was subsequently presented to the Council's Planning Committee for its consideration on Tuesday 25 October, 2011.
- 2.8 The document was subsequently published for a period of consultation prior to its adoption in accordance with Regulation 18 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004 (as amended).

2.9 The consultation took place over a 12-week period commencing on Friday 4 November, 2011 until 27 February, 2012. The SPD documents comprised the following documents:

- Draft Eastbourne Park SPD
- Statement of Consultation
- Guidance Notes for Making a Representation
- Statement for Representations Procedure
- Statement of Availability
- Response Form

2.10 Letters were sent to all specific and general consultation bodies. All other organisations, residents and businesses who have requested to be notified of all LDF consultations were also informed.

2.11 Notice of the consultation and locations where the document is availability have been given in a published advertisement that appeared in the local newspaper the Eastbourne Herald on Friday 4 November, 2011.

2.12 During the representation period, businesses, organisations and individuals were given an opportunity to comment on the document. Other consultation events included a stakeholder workshop (described in paragraphs 3.7-3.10 of this report), an unmanned static exhibition in Eastbourne Central Library, and a manned exhibition at the Arndale Centre.

2.13 A stakeholder presentation took place in the Court Room at Eastbourne Town Hall at 6pm on Thursday 8 December, 2011. The following members of staff from Eastbourne Borough Council coordinated and managed the event:

- Councillor Steve Wallis (Portfolio Holder for Development and Environment);
- Iona Cameron (Planning Policy Manager);
- William Nichols (Principal Planning Policy Officer); and

- Matt Hitchen (Planning Policy Officer).

- 2.14 Upon arrival, all attendees were given a delegate pack containing a copy of the stakeholder presentation and an Eastbourne Park SPD summary brochure (see Annex C). The room was set up with four tables arranged in cabaret style and attendees were invited to sit where they wanted. Each table had a copy of the Eastbourne Park SPD, some sheets of flipchart paper and some marker pens.
- 2.15 A display board setting out the key features of the Eastbourne Park SPD was set up to provide attendees with a clear, visual summary of the key issues contained in the document and attendees were free to view this information before and after the presentation. Additional copies of the Eastbourne Park SPD were available to view.
- 2.16 Steve Wallis (Portfolio Holder for Development and Environment) welcomed stakeholders and interested parties and gave a short introduction to the Eastbourne Park SPD and set out the Council's commitment to protecting and enhancing the Park for existing and future generations. He then introduced the Planning Policy representatives who then coordinated the event.
- 2.17 William Nichols (Principal Planning Policy Officer) gave a presentation setting out the background to the Eastbourne Park. He set out the reasons why the Council is producing an SPD for Eastbourne Park and advised stakeholders of the previous consultation stages that had taken place in order to get to this stage. He advised that the document was intended to set out a clear strategy for the future management of Eastbourne Park and will be used to guide development and in the determination of planning applications.
- 2.18 Following the presentation, attendees were invited to consider a series of questions and then given an opportunity to ask any further questions of their own.



### **3. SUMMARY OF THE MAIN ISSUES RAISED AND HOW THESE HAVE SUBSEQUENTLY BEEN ADDRESSED**

3.1. A comprehensive analysis of the Stakeholder Event at the Winter Gardens on 3<sup>rd</sup> July, 2009 is contained in the Stakeholder Event Feedback Report. A summary of the key issues raised and points that were considered have been listed below, together with a response setting out how these issues have been taken into account:

- *Should the Broadwater sector include Hampden Park?* Hampden Park is not well-related to Eastbourne Park and serves a different function. It has therefore been excluded from Eastbourne Park. However, Hampden Park will be protected through Policy C7: Hampden Park Neighbourhood Policy of the Core Strategy.
- *What will happen to the housing allocations and where will they go with Government restrictions on developing the flood plain?* The Council has prepared a spatial development strategy that gives priority to previously developed sites, together with some development on a number of small Greenfield sites within the urban area. The Council's spatial approach does not require additional Greenfield sites within Eastbourne Park.
- *What is now proposed for the housing development site at Kings Drive?* The King's Drive/Cross Levels Way site was allocated for housing in the current Eastbourne Borough Plan 2001-2011 and was granted outline planning permission on 27<sup>th</sup> October, 2011 following a successful appeal by the applicant (Planning Application Ref: EB/2010/0003 and Appeal Ref: APP/T1410/A/10/2128090/NWF). The site was Eastbourne Park boundary has subsequently been amended to reflect this.
- *How will the area become accessible for informal recreation including footpaths and cycleways, as there are so many landowners with different objectives?* Whilst there are several

landowners within Eastbourne Park, approximately 90% of the land is owned by just three landowners: Eastbourne Borough Council, East Sussex County Council and the Trustees of the Chatsworth Estate. The Council has engaged closely with East Sussex County Council and the Trustees of the Chatsworth Estate as well as with other landowners to try to resolve any issues.

- Bring all partners together and develop detailed and complex policies. Provide clear roles, responsibilities and budgets.
- Prevent commercial carve up of the area. Policy D11: Eastbourne Park of the Submission Core Strategy and the Eastbourne Park SPD set out
- *Do not provide more relief roads.* East Sussex County Council has deleted many of the previously proposed relief roads from its proposals including the Southbourne and Tutts Barn Links. The only remaining road proposal that East Sussex County Council remains committed to is the St Anthony's Link.
- *Have small scale, high quality developments along fringes at areas with lowest risk of flooding and least traffic impact.*
- *Any developments should retain and enhance ecological links and green corridors to perimeter parks, streams and levels.* The Council is committed to enhancing ecological links and green corridors and is committed to the development and enhancement of six Green Network Corridors
- *Recognition of the environment as the opportunity, not as a challenge or issue.* The Eastbourne Park SPD acknowledges the role of the Park as an important ecological asset and the approach taken has sought to preserve and enhance it for current and future generations.

- *Provide a full archaeological evaluation through history for the whole area.* The Council has worked closely with the County Archaeologist and seeks to designate an area of the Park as a Scheduled Monument.
- *Develop a long-term vision with a hydrological management plan for flood protection incorporating climate change. Not just engineering solutions, but providing biodiversity enhancement, archaeology and current planning policy.*
- *Expand and create new lakes for further flood storage.* The Eastbourne Park SPD acknowledges that existing lakes may need to be extended for additional flood storage purposes.
- *Improve habitats, create wildlife areas and long term management plans for wildlife areas.* Conservation of the natural environment is a key element of the Eastbourne Park SPD The SPD seeks to designate an area within the West Langney sector with statutory protection as a Local Nature Reserve and supports the creation of a regionally important wetland visitor centre.
- *Zone areas for different uses and activities.* The Eastbourne Park SPD acknowledges the different characteristics of the Park and the different sectors of the Park have been identified as having potential for different types of uses and activities.
- *Tourism interests has the potential to be big. Rename 'Eastbourne Park' and the 'sewers' to give a better impression of what is actually there.* The Council is proposing to change the name of the Horsey Sewer for the purposes of the new cycle route.
- *Limit tourism and leisure activities to those which do not have an adverse impact on the environment and landscape.* The Council recognises that Eastbourne Park is an under-utilised leisure and recreation resource.

- *Provide educational and community facilities, including a wetland visitor centre, which includes interpreting the Pevensey Levels.* A key element of the Eastbourne Park SPD is to deliver a Wetland Visitor Centre within the high ecology area of the West Langney sector.
- *Consider funding including consultants' studies, flood protection works, maintaining and managing schemes.*
- *Provide a long-term management plan for land owned by Eastbourne Borough Council.* The Eastbourne Park SPD supports the creation of a management forum or group representing all landowners and interested parties.
- *Eastbourne Borough Council has an obligation to provide sufficient allotments, extending existing areas.* The Council acknowledges its important role in providing allotments and it has prepared an Allotment Strategy, which sets out its proposals for future allotment provision. Several allotments sites within the Park have been identified as having potential for increased capacity. These include the creation of some new allotments at Churchdale Road, together with an extension to provide an additional 2,72 hectares of land (with new fences, gates, access roads, car parking, toilet facilities and the installation of water); a 0.18 hectares extension to the Manor Road allotments; and the reuse of the former allotment land at Durley Field.
- *Provide well-signed, quality access routes through the Park with strategic car parks. Improve way marking of access points for cycleways.* The Eastbourne Park SPD aims to provide a safe, clearly sign-posted network of footpaths and cycleways throughout the Park

- Provide pleasurable access routes for the community. These will link housing, services and recreational facilities, provide commuting and recreation opportunities with road crossings.
- *Have compatible water recreation on West Langney Lake.* Policy D11 of the Core Strategy recognises the needs for water-based recreation to be appropriate and non-motorised and not have an unacceptably detrimental impact on wildlife and ecology.
- *West Rise Junior School is interested in managing West Langney for education.* The Council is keen to work with local schools.
- *Include Hampden Park so that the objectives benefit local residents in deprived areas.* The Eastbourne Park SPD seeks to provide greater opportunities for its residents to lead healthy and active lives and reduce the negative effects of deprivation and social exclusion. A key element of the SPD is to enhance access to and from the Park.

3.2 Landowner Response Forms were received from some landowners and the responses received are set out in a table in Annex 3: Summary of Responses to Landowner Response Forms.

3.3 Richard J Maile/David W Bowerman identified a 2ha site at land to the north-west of Hammonds Drive and fronting Lottbridge Drove as having development potential and suggested it could be used as a new police headquarters.

3.4 East Sussex County Council, as landowner of much of Shinewater Park identified the site's amenity and wildlife uses and drew attention to an existing management plan, the implementation of which was resource dependent.

3.5 Matthew Trigg at RWE NPower PLC identified a 4.2ha site at the former railway sidings immediately north of the Bedfordwell Road depot site as having development potential for uses to be agreed with the Council.

3.6 Following the presentation, stakeholders and interested parties were invited to consider a series of questions the Portfolio Holder for Development & Environment and Planning Policy Officers were available to provide guidance and answer queries from stakeholders.

- Do you agree with the broad approach established in the Eastbourne Park SPD?
- Have we achieved an effective balance between natural/historic conservation and leisure/tourism?
- Is there anything else that the Council should be doing to protect the Park for future generations?

3.7 When asked to consider whether or not they agreed with the broad approach established in the Eastbourne Park SPD, the following feedback was received:

- It is a very broad question and consequently therefore difficult to say;
- The broad objectives are good;
- The St Anthony's Link road could lead to unwelcome development;
- Traffic and roads can cut off wildlife corridors (e.g. the Eastbourne Park road links);
- Cycle routes should cover the whole area and need to be more visible;
- More detail is required and the document should be more structured;
- It is difficult to agree or disagree without more detailed proposals; and

- The document has a good structure but it requires additional detail about the deliverability of individual proposals.

3.8 When asked to consider whether the Eastbourne Park SPD had achieved an effective balance between natural/historic conservation and leisure/tourism, the following feedback was received:

- It is difficult to say whether or not the document has achieved an effective balance;
- The balance is good if the quality of the Park is high;
- There should be more sports activities for teenagers and young people;
- The document needs greater clarity and specific proposals with accompanying diagrams;
- The quality of any new buildings within the Park should be high;
- There is considerable scope and potential to create a high quality network of cycle routes and ensure that Eastbourne fulfils its potential as a cycle town;
- There should be a new cycle route linking St Wilfred's Hospice with the lake;
- A new group could be set up 'Friends of Eastbourne Park'; and
- The SPD needs to be a bolder document: the Park represents a huge opportunity to create a new water-based residential development for the Borough.

3.9 When asked to consider if there is anything else that the Council should be doing to protect the Park for future generations, the following feedback was received:

- It is important the policies are delivered and as quickly as possible;
- Southbourne should be retained as a natural area;

- Care needs to be taken to ensure that the Park is not carved up by new roads;
- Hampden Park should be included within Eastbourne Park;
- There needs to be an assurance that Hampden Park's exclusion from Eastbourne Park does not mean that it is not protected;
- There is a need to make more of the Park's leisure and tourism ability and understand the increased scope to generate additional income;
- It is important for local residents to 'buy in' to the proposals and become passionate about the Park;
- The Park will have to be economically viable and 'pay for itself';
- The document as a whole is too general and not sufficiently specific; and
- The vision needs more clarity.

3.10 Over the course of the consultation period, the Council received a total of 58 representations from 18 consultees. Respondents included landowners, developers, other statutory public sector organisations, local schools and local residents. The principal comments requesting substantive changes to the document related to the following:

- The exclusion of Hampden Park and requests that the Plan be amended to include it within the overall boundary (Friends of Hampden Park);
- The boundary of Eastbourne Park, which does not reflect the extant planning permission at Bedfordwell Road Depot (Places for People);
- Water quality and the need for a maintenance plan for flooding (Environment Agency);
- Request to include land north of Hammonds Drive for a new police headquarters (Richard Maile); and
- The possibility of wind turbines as a renewable energy source (Strutt & Parker on behalf of the Trustees of the Chatsworth Settlement).

- 3.11 The feedback received from this consultation exercise and the formal responses given, have helped inform and shape the final version of the SPD. Annex A provides a summary of the representations received and sets out the officer's formal comment and response to each. It then sets out whether or not the Council is proposing to amend the document to take account of the representations received.
- 3.12 Many changes to the Eastbourne Park SPD have come about as a direct consequence of representations received during the formal consultation. In addition, several other amendments have been made to take account of up-to-date legislation or national policy or to take account of other changes that have taken place since the original document was prepared. These changes have been incorporated into the final version of the Eastbourne Park SPD.
- 3.13 Annex B provides the complete breakdown of all the changes being made. These include:
- Reference to the National Planning Policy Framework and the Technical Guidance to the National Planning policy Framework, which have replaced Planning Policy Statements and Planning Policy Guidance Notes, since the draft SPD was originally prepared;
  - An update to include reference to the consultation events that took place between 4 November, 2011 and 27 January, 2012;
  - Minor amendments to the boundary of Eastbourne Park to reflect an extant planning permission at the Bedfordwell Road depot site;
  - Clarification that the proposed pedestrian and cycle routes set out in Figure 7 are indicative and that the detailed route planning of these will involve landowners and stakeholders;
  - Amendments to the text in recognition of the recent designation of the Bronze Age settlement at Shinewater as a Scheduled Monument;
  - Deletion of reference to the need for increasing the capacity of outfalls to the sea following advise from the Environment Agency;
  - Clarification of the status of the St Anthony's Link Road to reflect the fact that no financial resources have been allocated to it;

- Deletion of Table 4: Monitoring Framework as this is not required and replicates the detail set out in the Core Strategy's monitoring framework.
- Amendments to the Glossary to reflect recent changes in national legislation, policy and guidance.

#### **4. CONCLUSION**

- 4.1 The Statement of Consultation for the Eastbourne Park SPD has been prepared in accordance with Regulation 12(a) of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 outlines which organisations and individuals was consulted in the preparation of the document, the main issues that were raised during consultation exercises and how these issues have been addressed. It also demonstrates how the document accords with the Council's adopted Statement of Community Involvement.

**Annex A: Table Showing Summary of Representations, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response**

**Annex A: Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response**

<b>Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response</b>						
<b>Rep ID</b>	<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Consultation Point</b>	<b>Agree/ Disagree</b>	<b>Summary of Representation</b>	<b>Officer's Comment</b>	<b>Council's Proposed Response</b>
<b>Whole Document</b>						
1	Friends of Hampden Park (Chris Hookham)	Whole document	Disagree	The Council has excluded Hampden Park from Eastbourne Park's boundaries.	<p>Hampden Park is different in character to Eastbourne Park and serves a different function. It is identified within Neighbourhood 7: Hampden Park in the Core Strategy. The area of Hampden Park will continue to be recognised as an important green space that will be protected through policies within the Core Strategy such as Policy C7: Hampden Park Neighbourhood Policy.</p> <p>It should be stressed that Hampden Park's exclusion from Eastbourne Park's boundary will not undermine its continuing recognition and importance as a leisure resource for both the people of Hampden Park and the wider community and will not impede the ability to protect, improve or fund new linkages into Eastbourne Park.</p>	In paragraph 2.2.6, delete "serving the local population".

<b>Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response</b>						
<b>Rep ID</b>	<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Consultation Point</b>	<b>Agree/ Disagree</b>	<b>Summary of Representation</b>	<b>Officer's Comment</b>	<b>Council's Proposed Response</b>
2	Charles Burgess	Whole document	N/A	Using the available space, a tram/light rail system could run from near the Langney Shopping Centre to Eastbourne railway station.	The East Sussex Local Transport Plan (LTP) 3 recognises that congestion can be a problem at peak times in some parts of Eastbourne. The LTP sets out a series of measures that will seek to address some of the issues related to congestion and simultaneously plan for growth. However, there are no current plans for light rail within the East Sussex LTP3.	No change.
3	Barbara Pratt (Public Health East Sussex)	Whole document	N/A	<p>The publication of the draft Eastbourne Park SPD is welcomed. It is particularly pertinent as a Physical Activity Strategy for Eastbourne is currently being developed by multi-agency partners.</p> <p>Achieving the recommended level of physical activity is an important contributor to preventing and managing over 20 chronic conditions including coronary heart disease, stroke, type 2 diabetes, cancer, obesity, mental health problems and</p>	<p>Support welcomed. One of the key principles of the Eastbourne Park SPD is to provide greater opportunities for the town's residents and visitors to lead healthy and active lives. The Eastbourne Park SPD seeks to enhance access into and within the Park. A series of new footpaths and cycleways will be designed to provide additional safe and secure opportunities for healthy lifestyles for all.</p> <p>New or additional allotments will be provided at Churchdale Road,</p>	In paragraph 3.6.1 after "...leisure opportunities." Add the following text: "Improving opportunities for involvement in physical activity is an important contributor to preventing and managing over twenty chronic conditions including coronary heart disease stroke, type 2 diabetes, cancer,

<b>Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response</b>						
<b>Rep ID</b>	<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Consultation Point</b>	<b>Agree/ Disagree</b>	<b>Summary of Representation</b>	<b>Officer's Comment</b>	<b>Council's Proposed Response</b>
				<p>musculoskeletal conditions.</p> <p>Initiatives to ensure that vulnerable people and all age groups are encouraged to access the park and improvements to public transport should be included in the SPD.</p>	<p>Manor Road and Durley Field to meet existing and future demand (in accordance with the recommendation in the Allotment Study.</p>	<p>obesity, mental health problems and musculoskeletal conditions."</p> <p>Insert new paragraph between paragraph 3.6.2 and 3.6.3: "Increased allotment provision will increase the number of people growing fruit and vegetables and, in time lead to healthier communities."</p>
4	Richard Maile	Whole document	N/A	<p>Include land north of Hammonds Drive for employment uses as per the representation submitted in relation to the Core Strategy.</p>	<p>The site was not considered as suitable for an employment allocation in the Core Strategy due to several constraints to its developability.</p> <p>Constraints include its Strategic Flood Risk and the presence of important identified habitats.</p>	<p>Await the findings of the inspector's report on the Core Strategy in relation to the omission of this site for employment.</p>
12	Hugh Ball	Whole document	Disagree	<p>Eastbourne Park should be joined up with public footpaths and cycle tracks.</p>	<p>The Eastbourne Cycling Strategy identifies a Borough-wide network of potential cycle routes, which</p>	<p>No change.</p>

<b>Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response</b>						
<b>Rep ID</b>	<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Consultation Point</b>	<b>Agree/ Disagree</b>	<b>Summary of Representation</b>	<b>Officer's Comment</b>	<b>Council's Proposed Response</b>
					will be provided as funding becomes available through a number of different avenues. One of the key elements of the Strategy is to enhance accessibility and ensure that cycle routes link key residential and employment areas as well as connecting to other cycle routes.	
13	Revd Douglas Caffyn	Whole document	N/A	<p>Canoeing is the most popular watersport in Britain, yet the SPD only mentions it under sustainable tourism. The development of a canoe trail through the Park as well as water canoe racing of regional importance would be welcome.</p> <p>There are insufficient canoeing facilities locally and there is the potential for developing a facility for flat water canoe racing of regional importance in Eastbourne Park within the planning parameters. Provision should be made in the SPD for this activity.</p>	Policy D11: Eastbourne Park (page 10) identifies canoeing as a non-motorised water-based recreation activity that will be supported within Eastbourne Park.	No change.
14	Jean Driver	Whole	N/A	The existing environment should	Policy D10 of the Core Strategy	No change.

<b>Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response</b>						
<b>Rep ID</b>	<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Consultation Point</b>	<b>Agree/ Disagree</b>	<b>Summary of Representation</b>	<b>Officer's Comment</b>	<b>Council's Proposed Response</b>
		document		be maintained as it is in its present natural state. Any planning will upset the present eco-system.	and the Eastbourne Park SPD will ensure the Park's long-term protection. Policy D11: Eastbourne Park (page 10) explicitly states that "Within the areas of highest ecological value, the environmental quality of the Park will be conserved and enhanced through the creation of a Local Nature Reserve. Outside designated nature conservation areas, development proposals will still need to be consistent with the Principles of the SPD and need to demonstrate that they "would not result in an unacceptably detrimental impact on wildlife and ecology".	
15	Darren Cook	Whole document	N/A	<p>The West Langney section does not state what work would be done to enhance the area, especially as a Wetland Visitor Centre is proposed.</p> <p>This would mean turning the area into a Nature Reserve, as there's currently nothing much there at the moment to warrant one.</p>	The concept for West Langney does include the designation of a local nature reserve. The biodiversity assessment (2007) identified several identified habitats throughout the Park including at West Langney. In West Langney, the area proposed for designation as a Local Nature Reserve includes amenity grassland, open water	No change.

<b>Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response</b>						
<b>Rep ID</b>	<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Consultation Point</b>	<b>Agree/ Disagree</b>	<b>Summary of Representation</b>	<b>Officer's Comment</b>	<b>Council's Proposed Response</b>
				Have any representations been made to Sussex Wildlife Trust or the RSPB on managing what could become a great reserve?	(freshwater), pasture, rank grassland and tall herb, reed beds and some small areas of woodland.  Opportunities for working with external organizations for the future management of the Park are currently being explored.	
16	St Thomas a Becket Schools	Whole document	N/A	Eastbourne Park offers a fantastic opportunity for a natural outdoor classroom. Once the area becomes more accessible it can be used effectively by both of our schools to extend the curriculum. It is also important that the proposals are of the highest quality in terms of design.  Following recent discussion with other local schools, there is agreement that they would welcome better communication and further consultation on the development of the park.	The Council recognizes the key role that schools can play in helping deliver the vision for Eastbourne Park. The Council accepts the request for additional consultation on the development of the Park.	No change.
17	Scarlett	Whole	N/A	The Eastbourne Park SPD is	Eastbourne Borough Council is	No change.

<b>Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response</b>						
<b>Rep ID</b>	<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Consultation Point</b>	<b>Agree/ Disagree</b>	<b>Summary of Representation</b>	<b>Officer's Comment</b>	<b>Council's Proposed Response</b>
	McNally (Bespoke)	Document		<p>supported and the only objections relate to the delivery timescale and to the proposed new road. The plan for the cycle routes is scheduled for "medium term 2017/18 – 2021-22". The infrastructure for cycling should be in the short term and the timescales should be reconsidered.</p> <p>Eastbourne Park will be excellent for cycling as it gives traffic-free routes for those walking and cycling. Where there is infrastructure for cycling, this also aids access for people using wheelchairs, mobility scooters or pushchairs and those walking dogs. This improves health and social inclusion for large numbers of people in Eastbourne.</p>	<p>committed to improving cycling facilities across Eastbourne; many of the cycle routes proposed (with the exception of the Horsey Sewer cycle route) are identified in the Cycling Strategy as Secondary Routes. Whilst these have been identified, no specific feasibility work has currently been undertaken. Paragraph 4.1.6 of the Cycling Strategy states that these routes "should therefore be considered as aspirational routes that will require further analysis before being included in a list of future schemes for feasibility and delivery as funding becomes available."</p>	
19	Mr A Lloyd	Whole document	Agree	<p>Eastbourne Park is a wonderful asset and the proposals for cycle paths, walkways, and nature reserves are excellent. The challenge will be to allow people to enjoy it without spoiling it.</p>	Support welcomed.	No change.

<b>Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response</b>						
<b>Rep ID</b>	<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Consultation Point</b>	<b>Agree/ Disagree</b>	<b>Summary of Representation</b>	<b>Officer's Comment</b>	<b>Council's Proposed Response</b>
20	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Whole document	N/A	This should be seen as a major plus point in the provision of cycle paths. Paths would link the places people need to access. They would provide utility cycle routes to schools, shops, businesses. This would help the town improve on congestion, health, pollution and economic development.	Support welcomed.	No change.
45	Andrew Oldland (Highways Agency)	Whole document	N/A	No comments.	Noted.	No change.
52	Community Environment Partnership for Eastbourne (CEPE) (Nick Adlam)	Whole document	N/A	The proposals set out in Eastbourne Park SPD are welcomed.  CEPE is against more road building across green spaces but in taking this stance it needs to be mindful of the economic impacts this may have on the town. CEPE is aware that if the additional road that the policy recommends is not constructed then there is the possibility that congestion will worsen around St Anthony's area. This could be	The proposal to build a new road has been included by East Sussex County Council in its Local Transport plan (LTP) 3.  However, financial resources have not been allocated to it by the County Council to enable its delivery. b	No change.

<b>Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response</b>						
<b>Rep ID</b>	<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Consultation Point</b>	<b>Agree/ Disagree</b>	<b>Summary of Representation</b>	<b>Officer's Comment</b>	<b>Council's Proposed Response</b>
				<p>harmful as there are very limited options in travelling East to West. In time though, the need to build this road may diminish if there is a strong uptake in cycling and public transport.</p> <p>CEPE would like there to be 'get out' clause for the additional road in case it is no longer needed. CEPE is aware that if something is included in a policy document then it is very difficult to remove unless certain conditions are attached.</p>		
53	Mr A Klapproth	Whole document	N/A	<p>The following proposals should be provided in Eastbourne Park</p> <p>1). Extension to 9 hole golf course in Lottbridge Drove making a full size 18 hole course.</p> <p>2). A purpose built Cricket stadium and appropriate facilities.</p>	The concept for the Southbourne sector is that it "will consolidate its position as an important area for leisure and recreation. An extended golf course or new cricket stadium are proposals that would not be contrary to the concept for Southbourne.	No change.

<b>Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response</b>						
<b>Rep ID</b>	<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Consultation Point</b>	<b>Agree/ Disagree</b>	<b>Summary of Representation</b>	<b>Officer's Comment</b>	<b>Council's Proposed Response</b>
58	Robin Reffell	Whole Document	N/A	The inclusion of reference to ecology and archaeology is fully supported. Any opportunities to become involved in any work that could be carried out would be appreciated.	Support welcomed. The Council will seek to involve landowners, stakeholders and the local community in the future management of the Park.	No change.
<b>Section 1: Introduction</b>						
55	Alex Bateman (Strutt & Parker on behalf of the Trustees of the Chatsworth Settlement)	Introduction (Paragraph 1.2.5) (Page 2)	N/A	This comment refers to Paragraph 1.2.5. This lists the evidence studies that support/influence the principles within the Eastbourne Park SPD. However, this paragraph does not refer to the Renewable Energy Potential Study 2009. This study is specifically related to Key Principle 10: Renewable Energy, and is referred to in supporting text.  Insert a reference to the Renewable Energy Potential Study 2009 in Paragraph 1.2.5.	Agreed.	In paragraph 1.2.5 after "the Eastbourne Surface Water Management Plan" insert "the Renewable Energy Potential Study,"
<b>Section 2: History, Context and Vision</b>						
56	Alex Bateman (Strutt & Parker on	History, Context and Vision (Pages 3-14)	N/A	Section 2.5 sets out the key issues for Eastbourne Park. The Vision for Eastbourne Park seeks an active response to the effects	These issues were discussed at the recent Examination in Public on the Core Strategy. The Council is currently awaiting the findings	Awaiting findings of inspector's report on the Core Strategy.

<b>Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response</b>						
<b>Rep ID</b>	<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Consultation Point</b>	<b>Agree/ Disagree</b>	<b>Summary of Representation</b>	<b>Officer's Comment</b>	<b>Council's Proposed Response</b>
	behalf of the Trustees of the Chatsworth Settlement)			<p>of climate change. It is therefore important to recognise that energy use and the potential for renewable energy is a key issue. Given that the Renewable Energy Potential Study 2009 sets out possible renewable energy resources within Eastbourne Park, it is important that this is followed into this documentation.</p> <p>It is important to consider the level of energy use commitments in the Borough and assess the potential for renewable energy creation in Eastbourne Park. The Park has been identified as having potential to provide renewable energy through a wind turbine, solar photo voltaic cells and biomass crop growing. It is essential that development required to harness renewable energy provides an effective and sustainable balance of environmental, social and economic benefit for the Borough.</p>	of the inspector's report on the Core Strategy in relation to the acceptability of wind turbines in Eastbourne Park.	

<b>Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response</b>						
<b>Rep ID</b>	<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Consultation Point</b>	<b>Agree/ Disagree</b>	<b>Summary of Representation</b>	<b>Officer's Comment</b>	<b>Council's Proposed Response</b>
21	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 2.1.6 (Pages 4-5)	N/A	Cycling is a low noise activity and there is concern about the plans to build a new road, which will add to noise intrusion.	See response to ID number 52.	No change.
22	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 2.2.3 (Page 5)	N/A	This is a great bonus for the town, but the Park is largely off-limits at present. Opening the Park with cycle lanes would allow more people to access it.	Support welcomed.	No change.
6	Places for People (Paul Sharpe)	Para 2.2.6 (Pages 5-6)	Disagree	<p>Places for People (PFP) is the owner of the Bedfordwell Road Depot site and adjoining former allotment land. These two parcels of land are included within the area of planning permission reference EB/2008/0609(FP) granted on 11th March, 2011 for residential development.</p> <p>The statement in paragraph 2.2.6 is therefore not complete in that some former allotment land should also be excluded from the Park area.</p> <p>Additional amendments are also required to Fig. 2 and all subsequent maps including Figs</p>	Agree. The planning permission EB/2008/0609(FP) included some land excluded from the Upperton neighbourhood in the Core Strategy.	Amend the boundary of Eastbourne Park in Figure 2 (and Figures 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10 and 11) to exclude the area of land that was granted planning permission under Ref: EB/2008/0609(FP)

<b>Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response</b>						
<b>Rep ID</b>	<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Consultation Point</b>	<b>Agree/ Disagree</b>	<b>Summary of Representation</b>	<b>Officer's Comment</b>	<b>Council's Proposed Response</b>
				3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10, 11.		
18	Mr A Lloyd	Para 2.2.7 (Pages 7-8)	N/A	Eastbourne Park is a beautiful area, which is a haven for wildflowers and birdlife If access can be allowed in these places, without losing their undisturbed charm, than it will be a great thing for people to enjoy.	The Council recognises the importance of Eastbourne Park as an area for wildlife and one which has the potential to enhance the quality of life of Eastbourne's residents.	No change.
37	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 2.2.9 (Page 8)	N/A	In Southbourne, the mini-railway and golf course have taken a large section, with sports fields on what is the last of the natural marsh of reeds, scrub and trees. This is not protecting the natural environment. Cycle paths linking to Hampden Park's comprehensive sports fields would be more conservational.	Comment noted. The Council has sought to achieve an effective balance between protecting and enhancing the important ecological and archaeological characteristics, whilst at the same time ensuring that the Park is more effectively utilised as a key leisure and recreation resource.  Southbourne is already an important area for leisure and recreation and the Eastbourne Park SPD will support additional sports, leisure and recreational facilities, where it can be demonstrated that such proposals would not have an unacceptably detrimental effect on the	No change.

<b>Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response</b>						
<b>Rep ID</b>	<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Consultation Point</b>	<b>Agree/ Disagree</b>	<b>Summary of Representation</b>	<b>Officer's Comment</b>	<b>Council's Proposed Response</b>
					character of Eastbourne Park as a whole.	
23	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 2.4.1 (Page 9)	N/A	Langney C section of the Parkland is marked as such an area, yet a road is proposed which will block green corridors causing noise pollution and create an eyesore reducing an area of natural beauty.  Cycle paths could be surfaced with MOT Type 1 to reduce costs.	Comment welcomed. See response to ID21 (paragraph 2.1.6)	No change.
24	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 2.5.2 (Page 11)	N/A	Cycle paths in the Eastbourne Park will open up job opportunities, leisure and tourism. The town centre shops and businesses will be more accessible.	Support welcomed.	No change.
7	Places for People (Paul Sharpe)	Para 2.5.7 (page 12)	Disagree	PFP supports the general thrust of this paragraph but suggests that improved accessibility to the Park would be of benefit, not only to tourism and visitors but, more fundamentally for existing and future local residents. Para 2.5.7 should therefore be	Agree. The aim of enhancing access to Eastbourne Park is envisaged to be for everyone not just tourists and visitors. It is therefore appropriate that this paragraph be amended to include reference to "existing and future residents" as well as visitors and	Amend paragraph 2.5.7 "This needs to be addressed in order to enhance access to the Park for existing and future residents whilst at the same time

<b>Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response</b>						
<b>Rep ID</b>	<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Consultation Point</b>	<b>Agree/ Disagree</b>	<b>Summary of Representation</b>	<b>Officer's Comment</b>	<b>Council's Proposed Response</b>
				amended to make reference to existing and future residents.	tourists to the town.	enabling it to reach its tourism and visitor potential".
<b>Section 3: Key Principles for Eastbourne Park</b>						
26	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 3.1.1 (Page 15)	N/A	Cycle paths will be in keeping with the natural environment, and allow more people to benefit from this.	Support welcomed.	No change.
43	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 3.1.1 (Page 15)	Disagree	Building the proposed road would cut off one section of the park, leading it open to development.	Comment noted.	No change.
46	Mark Luker (Environment Agency)	Para 3.1.1 and 3.1.2 (Page 15)	N/A	The unique character, biodiversity and habitats of the park are due to it being a floodplain and series of different types of wetland. The key to high biodiversity is clean water and there is no mention of water quality or in this document. There should be a particular emphasis on preventing water pollution in the West Langney sector that is proposed for Local Nature Reserve designation. [Note, Section 3.1.1 should refer to figure 4 not 2 (park sectors)].  Section 3.1.2 mentions	Suggestion accepted. The typographical error in paragraph 3.1.1 will be amended accordingly.	In the 2 <sup>nd</sup> sentence of paragraph 3.1.2 after "suitable environmental protection measures" insert "(including water pollution prevention)  In the last sentence replace " <del>Figure 2</del> " with " <u>Figure 4</u> ".

<b>Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response</b>						
<b>Rep ID</b>	<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Consultation Point</b>	<b>Agree/ Disagree</b>	<b>Summary of Representation</b>	<b>Officer's Comment</b>	<b>Council's Proposed Response</b>
				environmental protection measures and this could be expanded to include water pollution prevention and buffer strips between roads/urban development and watercourses and lakes.		
27	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 3.2.1 (Page 17)	N/A	Cycle paths build on Eastbourne Park will keep this area capable of coping with intermittent flooding.	Support welcomed.	No change.
47	Mark Luker (Environment Agency)	Para 3.2.1 (Page 17)	N/A	There is concern regarding the lack of maintenance to the existing flood storage scheme structures which could impact upon the continued effectiveness of the flood storage scheme. There is particular concern with the current state of maintenance of some of the key existing structures (e.g. spillways). It is important that this aspect is addressed as there has been a lack of maintenance since the existing lakes and structures were built. It is understood that a review of the operation of the flood storage scheme will soon	It is recognised that effective maintenance of existing flood storage will help ensure effective control of flowing water through existing sewers and channels.	Include additional initiative in Implementation section

<b>Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response</b>						
<b>Rep ID</b>	<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Consultation Point</b>	<b>Agree/ Disagree</b>	<b>Summary of Representation</b>	<b>Officer's Comment</b>	<b>Council's Proposed Response</b>
				<p>be commissioned and this will inform the maintenance requirements.</p> <p>The following text should be included:            "Ensuring that a maintenance plan is prepared and implemented to secure the continued effectiveness of the flood storage scheme, with particular regard to the key flood control structures".</p>		
42	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 3.2.4 (Pages 18-19)	Disagree	The proposed new road is in a high risk of flooding (fluvial zone 3b). A road would be even more expensive to build as it would have to be raised. East Sussex County Council should instead invest in far cheaper cycle paths throughout the parkland and links to it.	Comment noted.	No change.
28	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 3.3.1 (Page 20)	N/A	Many people will be able to use the Park with their bicycles. This will improve access to it. It will also improve access to other parts of town using a pleasant	Support welcomed.	No change.

<b>Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response</b>						
<b>Rep ID</b>	<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Consultation Point</b>	<b>Agree/ Disagree</b>	<b>Summary of Representation</b>	<b>Officer's Comment</b>	<b>Council's Proposed Response</b>
				and traffic-free route.		
25	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 3.3.3 (Page 21)	Agree	The proposals for a traffic-free route linking to the Eastbourne District General Hospital is supported. This would add options for less confident cyclists who wish to avoid the narrow part of King's Drive where there is currently no cycle path.	Support welcomed.	No change.
29	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 3.4.1 (page 23)	N/A	Cycle routes to these could enable retired people to keep healthy on their route to an allotment.	Support welcomed.	No change.
30	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 3.5.1 (page 25)	N/A	Installing cycle paths would mean Eastbourne Park remains a dedicated well-used facility for the people of Eastbourne and tourists. This would reduce the risk of other uses being mooted for this land.	Support welcomed.	No change.
39	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 3.5.1 (Page 25)	N/A	Rather than seeking to deliver a separate new archaeological centre and a wetlands centre, it may be better to have just one centre, to save further destruction of natural habitat and money.	The Council is exploring all options for the provision of educational wetland/archaeological centres.	No change.

<b>Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response</b>						
<b>Rep ID</b>	<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Consultation Point</b>	<b>Agree/ Disagree</b>	<b>Summary of Representation</b>	<b>Officer's Comment</b>	<b>Council's Proposed Response</b>
31	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 3.6.1 (Page 26)	N/A	Cycling reduces heart disease, respiratory disease, depression, type 2 diabetes, high blood pressure, some cancers, osteoporosis, etc. It also enables social inclusion. Active transport is a good lever to reduce the inequalities in health.	Support welcomed. The role that cycling can play in reducing chronic conditions is recognised.	No change.
32	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 3.7.1 (Page 27)	N/A	People accessing the Park by bicycle will be able to learn from the wildlife and historical aspects around them.	Support welcomed. One of the Eastbourne Park SPD's key principles is to bring about a more comprehensive understanding of natural and historical environments.	No change.
33	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 3.8.1 (Page 27)	N/A	Eastbourne has National Cycle routes that stop at the edge of the town. The Eastbourne Park plan will encourage more tourists to come into the town.	Support welcomed. The additional cycle routes identified in the Cycling Strategy and illustrated on Figure 7 will enhance linkages between the town and National Cycle Network Route 21 and the Cuckoo Trail.	No change.
48	Mark Luker (Environment Agency)	Para 3.8.1 (KP8) (Page 27)	N/A	Fishing should be added to the water-based recreational activities.	Fishing is not currently excluded and may be an appropriate in certain parts of the Park.	In Key Principle KP8: after "canoeing" add "fishing" (page 27).
9	Places for People (Paul)	Para 3.9.1 (Page 28)	Disagree	PFP fails to understand the relevance, role and potential	The six green corridors identified in Figure 9 provide a broad area	Amend the boundary of Eastbourne Park in

<b>Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response</b>						
<b>Rep ID</b>	<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Consultation Point</b>	<b>Agree/ Disagree</b>	<b>Summary of Representation</b>	<b>Officer's Comment</b>	<b>Council's Proposed Response</b>
	Sharpe)			<p>contribution of the planning permission site to the Horsey Sewer Corridor 'green corridor' on the basis that the site is unlikely to contribute to this green corridor.</p> <p>Fig 9 (and Fig 11) should be amended to remove the planning permission site from the Park, removing also the reference to the Horsey Sewer as it affects the Bedfordwell Road Depot planning permission site.</p>	<p>within which the Council is seeking to deliver enhanced green corridors between important local wildlife habitats. No change is therefore required in relation to this issue.</p>	<p>Figure 2 (and Figures 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 10 and 11) to exclude the area of land that was granted planning permission under Ref: EB/2008/0609(FP)</p>
34	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 3.9.1 (Page 28)	Agree	Green corridors are essential to maintain the natural ambience of the Eastbourne Park.	Support welcomed.	No change.
49	Mark Luker (Environment Agency)	Para 3.9.1 (Page 28)	Agree	The statement is supported. However, should the St Anthony's link road come forward later in the Core Strategy period this development could have a detrimental impact on ecological connectivity within the park.	See response to ID21 (paragraph 2.1.6)	No change.
44	Scarlett McNally	Para 3.9.3 (Pages 28-29)	Disagree	The proposed new road would cut off two of the six proposed	Comment noted.	No change.

<b>Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response</b>						
<b>Rep ID</b>	<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Consultation Point</b>	<b>Agree/ Disagree</b>	<b>Summary of Representation</b>	<b>Officer's Comment</b>	<b>Council's Proposed Response</b>
	(Bespoke)			green corridors (numbered 5 and 6).		
54	Alex Bateman (Strutt & Parker on behalf of the Trustees of the Chatsworth Settlement)	Para 3.10.1 (page 30)	N/A	<p>KP10 is supported as it acknowledges that Eastbourne Park has an important role to play in renewable energy generation.</p> <p>Paragraph 3.10.2 is the supporting text to Key Principle 10: Renewable Energy. It makes reference to the Renewable Energy Potential Study 2009. It concludes that "Eastbourne Park has been assessed as being suitable for solar photo voltaic cells – due to the amount of sunshine hours in Eastbourne and the flat location of the Park". However, this paragraph does not take into consideration a further conclusion of the Renewable Energy Potential Study 2009, which states that Eastbourne Park has been assessed as being suitable for wind power generation due to the relatively high recorded wind speeds in the Park.</p>	These issues were discussed at the Examination in Public on the Core Strategy. The Council is currently awaiting the findings of the Inspector's report on the Core Strategy in relation to the acceptability of wind turbines in Eastbourne Park.	Awaiting findings of inspector's report on the Core Strategy.

<b>Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response</b>						
<b>Rep ID</b>	<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Consultation Point</b>	<b>Agree/ Disagree</b>	<b>Summary of Representation</b>	<b>Officer's Comment</b>	<b>Council's Proposed Response</b>
				Paragraph 3.10.2 should be amended to acknowledge the conclusion of the study in relation to wind power generation within Eastbourne Park.		
<b>Section 4: The Spatial Framework</b>						
10	Places for People (Paul Sharpe)	Para 4.2.1 (Page 34)	Agree	<p>PFP broadly supports the Concept for the Broadwater sector of Eastbourne Park. The principle of increasing the number of access points from adjacent residential areas (subject to discussions with landowners) is supported.</p> <p>PFP would draw the Council's attention to the safeguarding of pedestrian and cycle access to the Park via the S106 Agreement attached to the planning permission for the redevelopment of the Bedfordwell Road Depot site and adjacent allotment land reference EB/2008/0609(FP). This prospect merits reference in paragraph</p>	Agree. The reference to the potential for pedestrian and cycle access to the Park via the Bedfordwell Road Depot site is acknowledged.	In paragraph 4.2.3 amend the 2 <sup>nd</sup> sentence to read: "Potential new access points will be explored from Broadwater Roundabout, and from the proposed new residential development sites at Kings Drive as well as the former Bedfordwell Road Depot."

<b>Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response</b>						
<b>Rep ID</b>	<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Consultation Point</b>	<b>Agree/ Disagree</b>	<b>Summary of Representation</b>	<b>Officer's Comment</b>	<b>Council's Proposed Response</b>
				4.2.3, or similar.		
40	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 4.2.1 (Page 34)	Disagree	There is concern about the planned road linking Lottbridge Drove and the Langney roundabout. We note also that it is relatively cheap to put in cycle paths, and these should have priority.	Suggestion noted. See response to ID 21 (paragraph 2.1.6).	No change.
35	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 4.3.2 (Page 34)	N/A	The cycle route along the railway line will bring residents from Hampden Park to the town centre and to shops and potential places of work, and to schools. The project overall will also help people of Langney to get into town.	Support welcomed. The Priority 2 route (running immediately east of the railway line), identified in the Cycling Strategy will enhance links between Hampden Park and the Town Centre.	No change.
5	Richard Maile	Figure 12 (Page 39)	N/A	Support for the SPD's overall objectives but there is a need to review the suggestion on page 39 that the site at land north of Hammonds Drive should be used to provide new sports facilities. The site is adjacent to Lottbridge Drove and a large industrial estate is an unsuitable locality for sports facilities. Further more, once the St Anthony's link	The site is identified does not conflict with the comment in page 52 under KP8: Sustainable Tourism, which refers to children's playgrounds being "located close to and with safe access to existing residential areas" to provide children with easy, safe and secure access to such facilities. These criteria not apply to outdoor sports facilities.	No change.

Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response						
Rep ID	Respondent	Consultation Point	Agree/ Disagree	Summary of Representation	Officer's Comment	Council's Proposed Response
				<p>is built it will be necessary to provide an expensive interchange at this point.</p> <p>A more appropriate location for new sports facilities would be on land owned by Eastbourne Borough Council or East Sussex County Council further to the southwest and clearly indicated on fig. 3.</p>		
<b>Section 5: Implementation and Monitoring</b>						
11	Places for People (Paul Sharpe)	Table 2 (Pages 46-48)	Disagree	<p>There is an inconsistency between 'Key Principle 3: Improved Accessibility' and the 4th heading in Table 2 'Develop New Cycle and Pedestrian Networks Across Eastbourne Park'.</p> <p>The delivery of improved access into the Park must be of 'high priority' as access into the Park must be essential to the delivery of the strategy and objectives identified for the Park itself. Consequently, the final</p>	<p>Agree. The Eastbourne Park SPD seeks to not only enhance access and links within the Park but also to enhance access into the Park from surrounding areas.</p> <p>This point will be made clearly in the final document.</p>	<p>In "Develop New Cycle and Pedestrian Networks Across Eastbourne Park", amend title to read "Develop New Cycle and Pedestrian Networks <u>and Access Into and Across</u> Eastbourne Park".</p> <p>In 3<sup>rd</sup> infrastructure item, amend text to read "New footpaths, <u>and</u> improved signage <u>and</u></p>

<b>Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response</b>						
<b>Rep ID</b>	<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Consultation Point</b>	<b>Agree/ Disagree</b>	<b>Summary of Representation</b>	<b>Officer's Comment</b>	<b>Council's Proposed Response</b>
				'initiative' set out in Table 3 should, in PFP's view, be included within the infrastructure schedule in Table 2 and similarly, KP3 for the Broadwater area in Table 5 should be amended to refer to 'Enhanced access into and through the Park via the provision of a comprehensive network of pedestrian and cycle routes'.		<u>enhanced access into and across Eastbourne Park</u> ".
41	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Table 2 (Pages 46-48)	Disagree	<p>The County Council should reconsider whether its commitment to the new road is still valid in the light of current financial constraints and commitments to sustainable transport.</p> <p>Of all the cycle facilities planned, only the Horsey Sewer route is a "short term" goal. The others are all "medium term goals 2017/18 – 2021-22".</p>	See response to ID17: (Whole Document) and ID 21 (paragraph 2.1.6).	No change.
50	Mark Luker (Environment Agency)	Table 2: Proposed Infrastructure in Eastbourne	N/A	The essential maintenance requirement is highlighted in the "Infrastructure" table (pages 45/46) under the existing item	Suggestion accepted as per response to representation ID 17. The text will be amended accordingly.	Amend Delivery Mechanism to Community Infrastructure Levy.

<b>Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response</b>						
<b>Rep ID</b>	<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Consultation Point</b>	<b>Agree/ Disagree</b>	<b>Summary of Representation</b>	<b>Officer's Comment</b>	<b>Council's Proposed Response</b>
		Park 'Continued Commitment to Flood Storage Area' Key Principle 2 (Pages 46-48)		"Continued Commitment to Flood Storage Area". This should be a high priority for the short term and then monitored and undertaken.  "Increasing the capacity of outfalls to the sea" is also incorrectly stated. The Environment Agency has no infrastructure proposals related to the Flood Storage Area and are not responsible for any funding. This should be funded by developer contributions as identified in previous "Flood Storage Options" reports.		
38	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Para 5.1.6 (Page 48)	N/A	Children's play areas could link from a cycle path allowing children to play and develop an experience of healthy travel.	Comment noted.	No change.
36	Scarlett McNally (Bespoke)	Table 3 (Pages 49-50)	Agree	The proposals to encourage local schools to participate in the environment are supported. Good, safe access to the Eastbourne Park will help with this.	Support welcomed.	No change.

<b>Table Showing Summary of Representation, Officer's Comment and Council's Proposed Response</b>						
<b>Rep ID</b>	<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Consultation Point</b>	<b>Agree/ Disagree</b>	<b>Summary of Representation</b>	<b>Officer's Comment</b>	<b>Council's Proposed Response</b>
<b>Appendices</b>						
51	Mark Luker (Environment Agency)	Appendix B2 (Page 55)	N/A	The non-native invasive species Floating Pennywort ( <i>Hydrocotyle ranunculoides</i> ) moved into the West Langney sector in 2011, along the Langney Sewer. This species should be included in Appendix B2.	Comment noted. The Eastbourne Park SPD will be amended to take account of this accordingly.	In paragraph B2 of Appendix B, delete "five" and replace with "six" add an additional: "Floating Pennywort <i>Hydrocotyle ranunculoides</i> ."

**Annex B: Table Providing a Schedule of Proposed Changes to the Eastbourne Park SPD**

**Annex B: Table Showing Proposed Changes to Eastbourne Park Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)**

<b>Table Showing Proposed Changes to Eastbourne Park Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)</b>			
<b>Amendment Number</b>	<b>Page Number/ Paragraph No.</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Reason for Change</b>
A1	Title Page	Delete "Draft" and update date to replace " <del>November 2011</del> " to " <u>December, 2012</u> ".	To reflect the latest version of the document.
A2	Page i: How Can You Get Involved?	Delete page.	This information is not relevant to a final approved document.
A3	Page ii: Foreword	Add new photograph of Councillor David Tutt (Leader of the Council).	To ensure consistency with other published planning documents.
A4	Contents page (Tables)	Delete "Table 4: Eastbourne Monitoring Framework" and rename Tables 5 and 6, 4 and 5 respectively. Amend corresponding page numbers accordingly.	The monitoring framework for Eastbourne Park is set out in Appendix B: Monitoring Framework of the Core Strategy (Policy D11: Eastbourne Park). There is therefore no need to prepare an additional Monitoring Framework for the Eastbourne Park SPD.
A5	Page 1 (yellow box)	Amend 1 <sup>st</sup> sentence to read: "This section provides an overview of the <u>Eastbourne Park Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)</u> within the wider <del>Local</del>	To provide clarity on what an SPD is and to reflect Government's new planning terminology.

**Table Showing Proposed Changes to Eastbourne Park Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)**

<b>Amendment Number</b>	<b>Page Number/ Paragraph No.</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Reason for Change</b>
		<del>Development Framework <u>planning system</u>.</del>	
A6	Page 1 Para. 1.1.1	Amend paragraph to read: "A Supplementary Planning Document or SPD is a document, <u>which adds further detail to policies in the Eastbourne Core Strategy Local Plan. They can be used to provide further guidance on particular issues</u> <del>that provides additional detailed guidance on matters contained within Development Plan Documents (DPDs)</del> . This draft SPD has been prepared to set out a clear strategy for the future management of Eastbourne Park as an ecological, archaeological and leisure resource that benefits both residents and visitors alike. It seeks to provide details on the interpretation of Policy D11 of the Eastbourne <u>Core Strategy Local Plan – Proposed Submission version of the</u> and provides a <u>planning</u> framework for the implementation of the vision, objectives and development principles for the future of Eastbourne Park."	To reflect the guidance contained within the National Planning Policy Framework and the development of the Core Strategy.
A7	Page 1 Paragraph 1.1.2	Amend last sentence to read: "It will be used <u>as a consideration</u> in the determination of planning	To provide clarity on where the Eastbourne Park SPD will apply.

**Table Showing Proposed Changes to Eastbourne Park Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)**

Amendment Number	Page Number/ Paragraph No.	Proposed Change	Reason for Change
		applications within, <u>or adjacent to</u> Eastbourne Park's boundaries and as a consideration in planning applications adjacent, or close to the Park."	
A8	Page 1 Paragraph 1.1.3	Insert new second sentence after "future generations", add " <u>The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) states that SPDs should be used "where they can help applicants make successful applications or aid infrastructure delivery", and it is anticipated that this document will put in place a clear framework for the delivery of enhancements to Eastbourne Park.</u> "	To reflect the most up-to-date national planning policy guidance on the preparation of Supplementary Planning Documents.
A9	Page2 Title	Delete " <del>pre-</del> "	To provide clarification.
A10	Page 2 Paragraph 1.2.1	Amend paragraph to read: "The <del>draft-SPD has been</del> <u>was</u> the subject of considerable discussion with a range of stakeholders in order to identify issues that needed to be addresssed and options for the future of Eastbourne Park.	To reflect the development of the document.
A11	Page 2 Paragraph 1.2.4	In 1 <sup>st</sup> sentence add " <u>other</u> " after "Trustees of the Chatsworth Settlement as well as..."	For clarification purposes.

**Table Showing Proposed Changes to Eastbourne Park Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)**

<b>Amendment Number</b>	<b>Page Number/ Paragraph No.</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Reason for Change</b>
A12	Page 2 Paragraph 1.2.5	In paragraph 1.2.5 after “the Eastbourne Surface Water Management Plan,” insert “ <u>the Renewable Energy Potential Study.</u> ”	In response to a comment from the Trustees of the Chatsworth Settlement: The Council acknowledges the role that the Renewable Energy Potential Study (2009) has had in informing the Eastbourne Park Supplementary Planning Document and that it consequently warrants inclusion.
A13	Page 2 New paragraph 1.2.6	Add new paragraph 1.2.6: <u>“A formal twelve-week consultation on the Draft Eastbourne Park SPD took place between 4 November, 2011 and 27 January, 2012. During this representation period, local businesses, organisations and individuals were invited to comment on the document. Stakeholders and other interested parties were also invited to attend a workshop, which took place on 8 December, 2011. The comments and feedback from this workshop session, together with the submitted responses to the formal consultation, helped inform the subsequent</u>	To provide a summary of the consultation on the draft version of the Eastbourne Park SPD.

**Table Showing Proposed Changes to Eastbourne Park Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)**

<b>Amendment Number</b>	<b>Page Number/ Paragraph No.</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Reason for Change</b>
		<u>amendments to the document.</u> "	
A14	Page 3 (yellow box)	Amend 1 <sup>st</sup> sentence to read: "This section provides a <u>concise history of Eastbourne Park</u> . It provides an overview of <del>Eastbourne</del> <u>the</u> Park's characteristics, describing its principal features, strengths and development issues.	To provide clarification.
A15	Page 3 (yellow box)	Amend 2 <sup>nd</sup> sentence to read: "It sets the scene for the development of the strategy established in following chapters by identifying the overall vision for the Park and <del>linking this document with the key Eastbourne Plan Policy from which it stems</del> <u>providing links to Policy D11 of the Eastbourne Core Strategy Local Plan.</u> "	To make the sentence read more effectively.
A16	Page 5 Paragraph 2.2.6	Amend 1 <sup>st</sup> sentence to read: "The precise boundary of Eastbourne Park has been established in the Core Strategy and excludes Hampden Park (i), which is deemed to be a physically and visually separate entity to Eastbourne Park, <del>servicing the local population.</del>	To reflect the wider role that Hampden Park has.
A17	Page 7 Figure 2:	Amend the overall boundary of Eastbourne Park to exclude land at Bedfordwell Road Depot.	The planning permission EB/2008/0609(FP) included some land

**Table Showing Proposed Changes to Eastbourne Park Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)**

<b>Amendment Number</b>	<b>Page Number/ Paragraph No.</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Reason for Change</b>
	Eastbourne Park Sectors		excluded from the Upperton neighbourhood in the Core Strategy. The boundary of Eastbourne Park in Figure 2 should therefore be amended to reflect this extant planning permission. An additional site at Larkspur Drive does not form part of Eastbourne Park and should be excluded from its boundaries.
A18	Page 8 Paragraph 2.2.8	Remove indentation before "Broadwater".	To correct formatting.
A19	Page 9 Vision for Eastbourne Park	Add " " after " 'green heart' "	To correct punctuation.
A20	Page11 Paragraph 2.4.1	After "Eastbourne" insert " <u>Core Strategy Local</u> " and delete ""(Core Strategy)".	To provide clarification.
A21	Page 11 'Economic benefits and sustainable balance' title	Delete and replace with " <u>Sustainable balance of economic, social and environmental benefits</u> "	To more clearly define the key issue.

**Table Showing Proposed Changes to Eastbourne Park Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)**

<b>Amendment Number</b>	<b>Page Number/ Paragraph No.</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Reason for Change</b>
A22	Page 11 Paragraph 2.5.2	Amend paragraph 2.5.2 to read: "It is essential that the future enhancement of Eastbourne Park will be undertaken in a manner that provides an effective <u>balance and sustainable balance of environmental, social and economic benefit between the need to preserve the environment and the desire to provide social and economic benefits</u> for <del>the Borough</del> Eastbourne in accordance with the Core Strategy Vision."	To more clearly define the key issue
A23	Page 11 'Provision of a local nature reserve and visitor centre' title	Amend title to read: " <del>Provision</del> <u>Designation</u> of a local nature reserve and <u>provision of a wetland</u> visitor centre/ <u>archaeology education resource centre</u> "	To more accurately describe the key issue.
A24	Page 11 Paragraph 2.5.3	Amend 1 <sup>st</sup> sentence to read: "Eastbourne Park is an underutilised resource <del>and there is a need to</del> <u>with considerable potential to increase tourism and attract more visitors to the Park.</u> "	To make the sentence read more effectively.
A25	Page 11 Paragraph 2.5.3	Amend 2 <sup>nd</sup> sentence to read: "There is considerable potential to create a Local Nature reserve and wetland	To focus on the delivery of a single multi-purpose wetland visitor

**Table Showing Proposed Changes to Eastbourne Park Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)**

Amendment Number	Page Number/ Paragraph No.	Proposed Change	Reason for Change
		<p>visitor centre/<u>archaeology education resource centre</u> within the areas of highest ecological value <del>and an</del> <del>educational resource centre adjacent to the Shinewater</del> archaeological site.</p>	<p>centre/archaeology/resource centre.</p>
A26	<p>Page 12 'Protecting the historic environment' title</p>	<p>Delete "historic" and replace with "historical"</p>	<p>Incorrect word used.</p>
A27	<p>Page 12 Paragraph 2.5.7</p>	<p>Amend 2<sup>nd</sup> sentence to read: "This needs to be addressed in order to <u>enhance access to the Park for</u> <u>existing and future residents, whilst at the same time</u> <u>enabling it to</u> reach its tourism and visitor potential."</p>	<p>To recognise the benefits that increased accessibility to the Park will have for residents as well as tourists in response to a representation received from Places for People (ID7).</p>
A28	<p>Page 14 Figure 3: Land Ownership within Eastbourne Park</p>	<p>Amend the overall boundary of Eastbourne Park to exclude land at Bedfordwell Road Depot.</p>	<p>The planning permission EB/2008/0609(FP) included some land excluded from the Upperton neighbourhood in the Core Strategy. The boundary of Eastbourne Park in Figure 3 should therefore be amended to reflect</p>

**Table Showing Proposed Changes to Eastbourne Park Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)**

<b>Amendment Number</b>	<b>Page Number/ Paragraph No.</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Reason for Change</b>
			this extant planning permission. An additional site at Larkspur Drive does not form part of Eastbourne Park and should be excluded from its boundaries.
A29	Page 15 Paragraph 3.1.1	In the last sentence replace " <del>Figure 2</del> " with " <u>Figure 4</u> ".	To refer to the correct diagram as identified in the Environment Agency's representation (ID46).
A30	Page 15 Paragraph 3.1.2	In the 2 <sup>nd</sup> sentence of paragraph 3.1.2 after "suitable environmental protection measures" insert " <u>(including water pollution.</u> "	To address a recommendation made by the Environment Agency in its representation (ID46).
A31	Page 16 Figure 4: Biodiversity Areas and Identified Habitats in Eastbourne Park	Amend the overall boundary of Eastbourne Park to exclude land at Bedfordwell Road Depot.	The planning permission EB/2008/0609(FP) included some land excluded from the Upperton neighbourhood in the Core Strategy. The boundary of Eastbourne Park in Figure 4 should therefore be amended to reflect this extant planning permission. An additional site at Larkspur Drive does not form part of Eastbourne Park and should

**Table Showing Proposed Changes to Eastbourne Park Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)**

<b>Amendment Number</b>	<b>Page Number/ Paragraph No.</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Reason for Change</b>
			be excluded from its boundaries.
A32	Page 18 Figure 5: Flood Risk Map for Eastbourne Park showing Todal and Fluvial Flood Zones	Amend the overall boundary of Eastbourne Park to exclude land at Bedfordwell Road Depot.	The planning permission EB/2008/0609(FP) included some land excluded from the Upperton neighbourhood in the Core Strategy. The boundary of Eastbourne Park in Figure 5 should therefore be amended to reflect this extant planning permission. An additional site at Larkspur Drive does not form part of Eastbourne Park and should be excluded from its boundaries.
A33	Page 19 Paragraph 3.2.5	In 6 <sup>th</sup> sentence, delete " <del>Planning Policy Statement 25: Development and Flood Risk</del> " and replace with " <u>The Technical Guidance to the National Planning Policy Framework</u> ".	To reflect the most up-to-date national planning policy guidance.
A34	Page 20 Paragraph 3.3.1	In the 2 <sup>nd</sup> sentence, amend text to read: " <del>Currently the</del> <u>The</u> majority of Eastbourne Park is <u>currently</u> inaccessible to the public and there are few rights of way".	To make the sentence read more effectively.
A35	Page 21	In paragraph 3.3.3, after "...comprehensive network of	To address issues raised by the Trustees

**Table Showing Proposed Changes to Eastbourne Park Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)**

<b>Amendment Number</b>	<b>Page Number/ Paragraph No.</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Reason for Change</b>
	Paragraph 3.3.3	pedestrian and cycle routes." add a new sentence as follows: <u>"The proposed routes shown in Figure 7 are indicative and the detailed route planning of these pedestrian and cycle routes will involve landowners and other key stakeholders."</u>	of the Chatsworth Settlement in relation to the precise routing of cycle routes.
A36	Page 21 Paragraph 3.3.3	In paragraph 3.3.3, after "Eastbourne and Hampden Park railway stations" add "."	To correct punctuation.
A37	Page 22 Figure 7: Cycling and Pedestrian Routes within Eastbourne Park	Amend the overall boundary of Eastbourne Park to exclude land at Bedfordwell Road Depot.	The planning permission EB/2008/0609(FP) included some land excluded from the Upperton neighbourhood in the Core Strategy. The boundary of Eastbourne Park in Figure 7 should therefore be amended to reflect this extant planning permission.
A38	Page 22 Figure 7: Cycling and Pedestrian Routes within	Amend Key to state " <u>Proposed Indicative Cycle Route (off road)</u> ". Change orange lines within Shinewater Sector to red lines to reflect the fact that they are "Other Existing Cycle Routes".	To address issues raised by the Trustees of the Chatsworth Settlement in relation to the precise routing of cycle routes made in its representation (ID8). To

**Table Showing Proposed Changes to Eastbourne Park Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)**

<b>Amendment Number</b>	<b>Page Number/ Paragraph No.</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Reason for Change</b>
	Eastbourne Park		ensure that the plan reflects the extent of the existing cycle network.
A39	Page 25 KP5: Conservation of the Historical Environment	Amend 1 <sup>st</sup> bullet point to read: <del>"Seeking to designate the Bronze Age archaeological remains at Shinewater as a Scheduled Monument in recognition of its national importance</del> <u>Protecting the Bronze Age settlement Scheduled Monument at Shinewater.</u> "	To reflect the designation of the Shinewater Bronze Age settlement (21 <sup>st</sup> June, 2012) as a Scheduled Monument.
A40	Page 25 KP5: Conservation of the Historical Environment	Amend 5 <sup>th</sup> bullet point to read: "Supporting proposals to create a wetland <del>heritage centre</del> <u>visitor centre/archaeology education resource centre.</u> "	To focus on the delivery of a single multi-purpose wetland visitor centre/archaeology/resource centre.
A41	Page 25 Paragraph 3.5.1	In 5 <sup>th</sup> sentence replace " <del>BCE</del> " to " <u>BC</u> ".	To ensure consistency with dates in paragraph 2.1.2 and to use a term that is more widely understood.
A42	Page 26 KP6: Healthy Communities	Amend the 1 <sup>st</sup> sentence to read: "Eastbourne Park will seek to provide greater opportunities for <del>its</del> <u>Eastbourne's</u> residents and visitors to lead healthy and active lives, whilst..."	To provide clarification.
A43	Page 26	In paragraph 3.6.1 after "...leisure opportunities." Add	To provide additional recognition of the

**Table Showing Proposed Changes to Eastbourne Park Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)**

<b>Amendment Number</b>	<b>Page Number/ Paragraph No.</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Reason for Change</b>
	Paragraph 3.6.1	the following text: " <u>Improving opportunities for involvement in physical activity will deliver significant health benefits.</u> "	importance that increased physical activity has on preventing chronic conditions in response to a representations received from Public Health East Sussex.
A44	Page 26 New paragraph 3.6.4	Create new paragraph 3.6.4: <u>"Increased allotments provision will increase the number of people growing fruit and vegetables and in time lead to healthier communities."</u>	In response to representations received from Public Health East Sussex and in order to provide recognition of the role that allotments can play in creating healthy communities.
A445	Page 27 KP7: Educational Awareness	In 1 <sup>st</sup> sentence, delete "historic" and replace with "historical".	To ensure the correct word is used and to ensure consistency with the terminology use in the rest of the document.
A46	Page 27 KP7: Educational Awareness	In 1 <sup>st</sup> bullet point, after "wetland visitor centre, add <u>"/archaeology education resource centre; and"</u>  In 2 <sup>nd</sup> bullet point, amend to read: " <del>Establishing an environmental educational centre within the visitor</del> "	To focus on the delivery of a single multi-purpose wetland visitor centre/archaeology/resource centre.

**Table Showing Proposed Changes to Eastbourne Park Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)**

<b>Amendment Number</b>	<b>Page Number/ Paragraph No.</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Reason for Change</b>
		<p><del>centre and encouraging</del> Encouraging local schools to participate in the environmental/<u>archaeological</u> education on offer; <del>and.</del></p> <p><u>Delete 3<sup>rd</sup> bullet point: "Supporting the creation of an archaeological interpretation visitor centre."</u></p>	
A47	Page 27 KP8: Sustainable Tourism	In 2 <sup>nd</sup> bullet point amend to read: "Creating a wetland visitor centre <del>and archaeological resource centre</del> <u>/archaeology education resource centre;</u> "	To focus on the delivery of a single multi-purpose wetland visitor centre/archaeology/resource centre.
A48	Page 27 KP8: Sustainable Tourism	Add additional 5 <sup>th</sup> bullet point after "and other uses": "Exploring opportunities for the introduction of angling; and"	To respond to a comment from the Environment Agency in its representation (ID48).
A49	Page 29 Figure 9: Identified Green Corridors where links can be made to other Important Local Wildlife	Amend the overall boundary of Eastbourne Park to exclude land at Bedfordwell Road Depot and at Larkspur Drive.	The planning permission EB/2008/0609(FP) included some land excluded from the Upperton neighbourhood in the Core Strategy. The boundary of Eastbourne Park in Figure 9 should therefore be amended to reflect this extant planning permission. An

**Table Showing Proposed Changes to Eastbourne Park Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)**

<b>Amendment Number</b>	<b>Page Number/ Paragraph No.</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Reason for Change</b>
	Habitats		additional site at Larkspur Drive does not form part of Eastbourne Park and should be excluded from its boundaries.
A50	Page 32 Figure 10: Eastbourne Park Key Diagram	Amend the overall boundary of Eastbourne Park to exclude land at Bedfordwell Road Depot.	The planning permission EB/2008/0609(FP) included some land excluded from the Upperton neighbourhood in the Core Strategy. The boundary of Eastbourne Park in Figure 10 should therefore be amended to reflect this extant planning permission.
A51	Page 32 Figure 10: Eastbourne Park Key Diagram	Delete reference to " <del>Archaeological Visitor Centre</del> " and amend "Wetland Visitor Centre" to " <u>Wetland Visitor Centre/Archaeology Education Resource Centre</u> ".	To focus on the delivery of a single multi-purpose wetland visitor centre/archaeology/resource centre.
A52	Page 34 Paragraph 4.2.3	Amend the 2 <sup>nd</sup> sentence to read: "Potential new access points will be explored from Broadwater Roundabout, and from the proposed new residential development <u>sites</u> at King's Drive and <u>the former Bedfordwell Road depot</u> ."	To include reference to the safeguarded pedestrian and cycle access (which forms part of the Section 106 agreement to planning permission (EB/2008/0609(FP)) and as pointed out in Places for People's

**Table Showing Proposed Changes to Eastbourne Park Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)**

<b>Amendment Number</b>	<b>Page Number/ Paragraph No.</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Reason for Change</b>
			representation (ID10).
A53	Page 36 Figure 11: Broadwater Key Diagram	Amend the overall boundary of Eastbourne Park to exclude land at Bedfordwell Road depot.	The planning permission EB/2008/0609(FP) included some land excluded from the Upperton neighbourhood in the Core Strategy. The boundary of Eastbourne Park in Figure 10 should therefore be amended to reflect this extant planning permission.
A54	Page 40 Sector C: West Langney (purple box)	In 2 <sup>nd</sup> Bullet point, after "wetland visitor centre" add <u>"/archaeology education resource centre"</u> .	To focus on the delivery of a single multi-purpose wetland visitor centre/archaeology/resource centre.
A55	Page 40 Paragraph 4.4.3	In 1 <sup>st</sup> sentence make "Reserves" singular.	To provide clarity.
A56	Page 41 Paragraph 4.4.5	Delete paragraph and replace with: <u>"A key element of West Langney will be the creation of a wetland visitor centre/archaeology education resource centre to create a unique visitor attraction and focus for tourism within the Park. It should be located in an</u>	To focus on the delivery of a single multi-purpose wetland visitor centre/archaeology/resource centre.

**Table Showing Proposed Changes to Eastbourne Park Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)**

<b>Amendment Number</b>	<b>Page Number/ Paragraph No.</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Reason for Change</b>
		<u>accessible location close to the Shinewater Sector."</u>	
A57	Page 41 Figure 13: West Langney Key Diagram	Amend the colours to differentiate more clearly between "reedbeds" and "play areas", which currently appear very similar on the diagram. Amend description from " <del>Wetland Visitor Centre</del> " to " <u>Wetland Visitor Centre/Archaeology Education Resource Centre</u> ".	To provide clarity and the decision to focus on a single multi-purpose wetland visitor centre/archaeology education resource centre.
A58	Page 41 Figure 13: West Langney Key Diagram	Add access point symbols to West Langney Key Diagram to indicate locations where the sector can be accessed from. Add indicative location in brackets to 'Visitor and Educational Resource Centre'.	To reflect the access points into the West Langney sector. To reflect flexibility in the Resource Centre's location.
A59	Page 42 Sector D: Shinewater (purple box)	Amend 1 <sup>st</sup> bullet point to read: " <del>Designate the known archaeology as a Scheduled Monument</del> <u>Protecting the Bronze Age settlement Scheduled Monument;</u> "	To reflect the designation of the Shinewater Bronze Age settlement (21 <sup>st</sup> June, 2012) as a Scheduled Monument.
A60	Page 42 Sector D: Shinewater (purple box)	Delete 2 <sup>nd</sup> bullet point " <del>Creation of a small archaeology-based visitor centre with associated parking and ancillary facilities;</del> " and replace with " <u>provide good cycle/pedestrian linkages to the wetland visitor centre/archaeology education resource centre in the</u>	To focus on the delivery of a single multi-purpose wetland visitor centre/archaeology education resource centre.

**Table Showing Proposed Changes to Eastbourne Park Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)**

Amendment Number	Page Number/ Paragraph No.	Proposed Change	Reason for Change
		<u>adjoining West Langney Sector;</u> "	
A61	Page 42 Paragraph 4.5.1	Amend paragraph to read: "Shinewater will consolidate and enhance its position as an important area of archaeology. <del>To achieve this, a proportion of this Sector will be designated a Scheduled Monument. The Bronze Age settlement Scheduled Monument. This is justified</del> <u>which was designated</u> on the basis of the national importance of the buried archaeological remains of the Bronze Age causeway, platform and associated cultural material. This <del>additional</del> statutory protection will help ensure that these nationally important archaeological remains are maintained and preserved in the future."	To reflect the designation of the Shinewater Bronze Age settlement (21 <sup>st</sup> June, 2012) as a Scheduled Monument.
A62	Page 42 Paragraph 4.5.2	Delete 'The land within Shinewater' and replace with 'Shinewater Country Park'	To reference the fact that Shinewater sector is a Country Park
A63	Page 43 Paragraph 4.5.4	After "...residential areas" amend the text to read "(particularly in Hampden <del>park</del> <u>Park</u> to the west) will be explored."	To correct a typographical error.
A64	Page 43 Paragraph 4.5.5	In first sentence, delete " <del>2030</del> " and replace with " <u>2027</u> ",	To ensure consistency with the amended timescale of the Wealden Core Strategy.

**Table Showing Proposed Changes to Eastbourne Park Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)**

<b>Amendment Number</b>	<b>Page Number/ Paragraph No.</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Reason for Change</b>
A65	Page 44 Figure 14	Discussion required about whether the LDF Steering Group is satisfied with combining the archaeological and nature visitor centres.	TBC
A66	Page 45 Paragraph 5.0.1	Delete third sentence and replace with: <u>"The effectiveness of the SPD will be monitored through the relevant indicators set out in Appendix B of the Eastbourne Core Strategy Local Plan."</u>	The monitoring framework for Eastbourne Park is set out in Appendix B: Monitoring Framework of the Core Strategy (Policy D11: Eastbourne Park). There is therefore no need to prepare an additional Monitoring Framework for the Eastbourne Park SPD.
A67	Page 45 Paragraph 5.1.1	At the end of the paragraph add the following sentence: <u>"This section further supplements the Council's Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP), a document that provides comprehensive details of the infrastructure requirements that are required to support the spatial development strategy identified in the Eastbourne Core Strategy Local Plan."</u>	To ensure consistency with the Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP).
A68	Page 45 Paragraph 5.1.2	In the first sentence delete <del>"The following table"</del> and replace with <u>"Table 2"</u> .	To ensure consistency with the Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP).

**Table Showing Proposed Changes to Eastbourne Park Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)**

Amendment Number	Page Number/ Paragraph No.	Proposed Change	Reason for Change
		<p>At the end of the paragraph add the following text:  <u>“Table 2 also indicates whether or not the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) can be used to collect financial contributions from development towards the delivery of key pieces of infrastructure. In instances where the CIL is used, the infrastructure must be directly related to housing and employment growth across Eastbourne.”</u></p>	
A69	Table 2: Proposed Infrastructure in Eastbourne Park	See Amended Table 2 (several changes have been made to the table)	To ensure consistency with the Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP).
A70	Page 48 Paragraph 5.1.5	<p>Delete paragraph and replace with:  <u>“Table 2 shows that there are several key pieces of infrastructure that are required within Eastbourne Park. The delivery of a wetland visitor centre/archaeology education resource centre relies on funding and delivery from external agencies. The provision of a multi-faceted</u></p>	To focus on the delivery of a single multi-purpose wetland visitor centre/archaeology education resource centre.

**Table Showing Proposed Changes to Eastbourne Park Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)**

Amendment Number	Page Number/ Paragraph No.	Proposed Change	Reason for Change
		<u>centre would be beneficial to improving and opening up Eastbourne Park as a cultural, recreational and educational asset.</u>	
A71	Page 49 Paragraph 5.1.8	Amend paragraph to read: “ <del>The delivery of the St Anthony’s Road Link has been identified as required within the Plan period (2006-2027) in order to meet the objectives of spatial development strategy outlined in the Council’s LDF. The Council is committed to safeguard its location for future years retained by East Sussex County Council as a highway scheme, although no financial resources have yet been allocated to it to enable its delivery. It therefore continues to be an aspiration of the County Council, rather than a commitment</del> ”.	To better reflect the most up-to-date situation in relation to the St Anthony’s Road Link.
A72	Page 49 Table 3: Proposed Initiatives for Eastbourne Park	See Amended Table 3 (several changes have been made to the table)	To ensure consistency with the Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP).
A73	Page 50	In 1 <sup>st</sup> sentence, delete “ <del>The initiatives table</del> ” and replace	To provide clarity.

**Table Showing Proposed Changes to Eastbourne Park Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)**

<b>Amendment Number</b>	<b>Page Number/ Paragraph No.</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Reason for Change</b>
	Paragraph 5.1.10	with <u>“Table 3: Initiatives for Eastbourne Park,”</u> . In 2 <sup>nd</sup> sentence, delete <u>“very important”</u> and replace with <u>“being of a”</u> .	
A74	Page 50 Paragraph 5.2.1	In paragraph 5.2.1, delete second sentence: <u>“The following tables provide a summary of the objectives from each section of the SPD, providing a list of indicators that will monitor performance against that objective.”</u> and replace with: <u>“The monitoring framework for Eastbourne Park is set out in Appendix B of the Core Strategy. It seeks to achieve an “enhancement of Eastbourne Park as an ecological and leisure resource” through the creation of a nature reserve and wetland centre.”</u>	The monitoring framework for Eastbourne Park is set out in Appendix B: Monitoring Framework of the Core Strategy (Policy D11: Eastbourne Park). There is therefore no need to prepare an additional Monitoring Framework for the Eastbourne Park SPD.
A	Page 52	Add the following initiative to Table 3: Ensuring that a maintenance plan is prepared and implemented to secure the continued effectiveness of the flood storage scheme, with particular regard to the key flood control structures Priority: High	Representation from Environment Agency

**Table Showing Proposed Changes to Eastbourne Park Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)**

<b>Amendment Number</b>	<b>Page Number/ Paragraph No.</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Reason for Change</b>
		Timing; Short Term Lead organisation: Eastbourne Borough Council	
A75	Page 51 Table 4: Eastbourne Park Monitoring Framework	Delete Table 4: Eastbourne Park Monitoring Framework	The monitoring framework for Eastbourne Park is set out in Appendix B: Monitoring Framework of the Core Strategy (Policy D11: Eastbourne Park). There is therefore no need to prepare an additional Monitoring Framework for the Eastbourne Park SPD.
A76	Page 54 Table 5: Assessment of Sector Concepts against Core Strategy Policy D11	Delete wording underneath table as follows: <del>"Table 5: Assessment of Sector Concepts against Core Strategy Policy D11"</del> and replace with <u>"Table 4: Assessment of Sector Concepts against Eastbourne Core Strategy Local Plan Policy D11"</u>	To reflect the necessary renumbering of table and to take account of the most up-to-date terminology.
A77	Page 54 Paragraph B1 of Appendix B:	In paragraph B.1 after "Eastbourne Park", delete "in" and replace with <u>"within"</u> .	To maintain consistency throughout the section.

**Table Showing Proposed Changes to Eastbourne Park Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)**

<b>Amendment Number</b>	<b>Page Number/ Paragraph No.</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Reason for Change</b>
	Eastbourne Park Priority Species		
A78	Page 55 Table 6	Rename "Table 6" to become "Table 5".	To reflect the necessary renumbering of table.
A79	Page 55 Paragraph B2 of Appendix B: Eastbourne Park Priority Species	In paragraph B.2 replace " <del>five</del> " with " <u>six</u> ", and add an additional bullet point after "Hybrid Bluebell Hyacinthoides non-scripta x hispanica = H. x massartiana" and add " <u>Floating Pennywort <i>Hydrocotyle ranunculoides</i></u> ".	To address a comment from the Environment Agency stating that Floating Pennywort <i>Hydrocotyle ranunculoides</i> had moved into the West Langney sector in 2011, along the Langney Sewer.
A80	Page 56 Glossary	Rename "Core Strategy" to " <u>Eastbourne Core Strategy Local Plan</u> " and move to ensure the table is in alphabetical order.  Amend the definition by deleting " <del>Development Framework</del> " and replacing with " <u>Plan</u> ".	To reflect the most up-to-date terminology in use.
A81	Page 56 Glossary	Amend the definition of "Development Plan Documents" by deleting " <del>Development Framework</del> " and replacing with " <u>Plan</u> ".	To reflect the most up-to-date regulations.
A82	Page 57 Glossary	Delete reference to " <u>Planning Policy Statements (PPS)</u> " and the following text, " <del>Documents prepared by the</del>	To reflect the revocation of Planning Policy Statements following the

**Table Showing Proposed Changes to Eastbourne Park Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)**

<b>Amendment Number</b>	<b>Page Number/ Paragraph No.</b>	<b>Proposed Change</b>	<b>Reason for Change</b>
		<del>Government after public consultation to explain statutory provisions and provide guidance to local authorities and others on planning policy and the operations of the planning system."</del>	introduction of the introduction of the National Planning Policy Framework in March, 2012.
A83	Page 57 Glossary	Add term " <u>National Planning Policy Framework</u> " to Glossary and add the following supporting text: " <u>The National Planning Policy Framework sets out the Government's planning policies for England and how these are expected to be applied</u> ".	To reflect the revocation of Planning Policy Statements following the introduction of the introduction of the National Planning Policy Framework in March, 2012.
A84	Page 57 Glossary	Amend the definition of A "Scheduled Monument" to read "A monument of national importance (e.g. <del>Martello Towers in Eastbourne</del> <u>Shinewater Bronze Age settlement</u> ).	To provide a reflect the recent designation of Shinewater Bronze Age settlement as a Scheduled Monument and provide a referenced example that is more pertinent to Eastbourne Park.