

Lewes District Local Plan

Part 1: Joint Core Strategy – Proposed Submission Document

Schedule of Minor Amendments

May 2014



Amendment Number	Proposed Submission Core Strategy Reference ¹	Proposed Change	Reason
MA1	Front cover	Change the date to May 2014: <u>'January-May 2014'</u>	To reflect the publication date of the current document version.
MA2	Page 2	Amend the paragraph and update the consultation period: <u>'Representations are invited on the amendments to this document for This document is available for public consultation for an 8-10 week period between the 11th January 2013 and the 22nd March 2013XXX and XXX. If you made representations on the original Proposed Submission document, which was published in January 2013, then there is no need to resubmit them during this representation period, unless you wish to alter or withdraw your representation in light of the amendments made'</u>	To reflect the current public representations period arrangements.
MA3	Foreword Page 3	Amend the second sentence of the first paragraph by deleting the following: 'it comprises a collection of distinct settlements which straddle several employment and housing markets with no one single focus '	Unnecessary as the description already implies the diversity of the district.
MA4	Section 1 – Introduction 1.2	Add the following paragraph: <u>'1.2 In January 2013, the Core Strategy Proposed Submission document was published and representations were invited on the plan. All representations made will be considered by the Planning Inspector appointed to conduct the Examination in Public. In advance of submitting this Core Strategy to the Secretary of State, the District Council and National Park Authority have taken the decision to make certain amendments to the Proposed Submission document (as published in January 2013), as well as the accompanying Sustainability Appraisal report. Representations are now invited on these amendments. As with the representations made on the original Proposed Submission document, all representations made on the amendments will also be considered by the Planning Inspector.'</u>	To explain the current position.

MA5	1.3	<p><i>Delete the first two sentences of the paragraph:</i></p> <p>'Representations are invited on this plan, although, unlike previous consultations, these will not be considered by the District Council and NPA. Instead the representations will be considered by the Planning Inspector appointed to conduct the Examination in Public.'</p>	Out of date information.
MA6	1.11	<p><i>Amend as follows:</i></p> <p>'Local planning policy for waste and minerals is contained in Plans which cover the entire County, and <u>Currently</u> these are the East Sussex, <u>South Downs</u> and Brighton & Hove Waste <u>and Minerals Plan (2013)</u>, along with certain <u>policies from the Waste Local Plan (2006)</u> and the East Sussex and Brighton & Hove <u>Minerals Local Plan (1999)</u>¹.'</p>	Updated information.
MA7	Footnote ¹ relating to 1.11 above	<p><i>Amend as follows:</i></p> <p><u>East Sussex County Council, the South Downs National Park Authority and Brighton & Hove City Council have now commenced work on a Waste and Minerals Sites Plan, which will allocate land for waste and minerals development. The Waste Local Plan and Minerals Local Plan will be replaced by a Waste and Minerals Plan and subsequent site allocations document in due course.</u></p>	Updated information.
MA8	1.12	<p><i>Update the number of consultation periods and change 'red' to 'italics':</i></p> <p>'The four<u>three</u> formal consultation periods are identified in italics<u>red</u> in table 1.'</p>	Updated information.

MA9	1.12 Table 1	<p><i>Amend expected dates below and update consultation period:</i></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="620 284 1393 758"> <tr> <td data-bbox="620 284 943 408"><i>Proposed Submission Document²</i></td> <td data-bbox="943 284 1393 408">January – March 2013 November 2012 – February 2013</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="620 408 943 544">Proposed Submission Document – Focussed Amendments³</td> <td data-bbox="943 408 1393 544">May – June 2014</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="620 544 943 608">Formal Submission³</td> <td data-bbox="943 544 1393 608">August 2014April 2013</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="620 608 943 671">Examination</td> <td data-bbox="943 608 1393 671">Autumn 2014Summer 2013</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="620 671 943 758">Adoption</td> <td data-bbox="943 671 1393 758">Early 2015Autumn 2013</td> </tr> </table>	<i>Proposed Submission Document²</i>	January – March 2013 November 2012 – February 2013	Proposed Submission Document – Focussed Amendments³	May – June 2014	Formal Submission ³	August 2014 April 2013	Examination	Autumn 2014 Summer 2013	Adoption	Early 2015 Autumn 2013	Updated information.
<i>Proposed Submission Document²</i>	January – March 2013 November 2012 – February 2013												
Proposed Submission Document – Focussed Amendments³	May – June 2014												
Formal Submission ³	August 2014 April 2013												
Examination	Autumn 2014 Summer 2013												
Adoption	Early 2015 Autumn 2013												
MA10	1.18	<p><i>Amend second sentence of paragraph to read as follows:</i></p> <p>‘The anticipated adoption of this plan is mid 20176.’</p>	Updated information.										
MA11	1.19	<p><i>Amend paragraph as follows:</i></p> <p>‘A key influence on planning policies for the National Park area should be the South Downs National Park’s Partnership Management Plan, which was adopted in December 2013. This has yet to be prepared for the South Downs, but is to be progressed over the coming couple of years and will hence inform the National Park Authority’s own Local Plan.’</p>	Updated information.										
MA12	1.22	<p><i>Add the following sentence to the paragraph:</i></p> <p>‘Since March 2014 the NPPF has been supported by new national Planning Practice Guidance, covering various topics including housing and economic development needs assessment and Local Plans.’</p>	Updated information.										

MA13	1.23 (previously 1.22)	<p><i>Amend the paragraph as follows:</i></p> <p>‘1.232 In May 2009, the Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) for the South East, known as the South East Plan, was adopted. One of the key requirements in preparing the Core Strategy is that it needs to be in “general conformity” with the relevant RSS for that area. Whilst the Core Strategy was prepared in the context of the South East Plan (2009), that Plan which was revoked by the Government in March 2013. Due to the uncertainty surrounding regional planning throughout much of the period of developing the Core Strategy, the District Council and National Park Authority took the decision early in the preparation process to review certain policy approaches set out in the South East Plan. This review included those policies concerned with the scale and distribution of housing in Lewes District.’</p>	Updated information. The revocation of the South East Plan had implications for the content of this Core Strategy which required further exploration and led to amendments, particularly to the housing strategy – see the Schedule of Focussed Amendments for details.
MA14	1.23 and 1.24	<p><i>Remove paragraphs:</i></p> <p>‘1.23 In Summer 2010, the Government announced its intention to abolish all Regional Spatial Strategies, including the South East Plan. Although the Localism Act has removed the powers for creating regional plans, none of the Regional Spatial Strategies have been revoked yet. Hence, at this time, the South East Plan remains effective, and a statutory part of the ‘development plan’, with which the Core Strategy should generally conform.</p> <p>1.24 In part due to the uncertainty surrounding regional planning, particularly in terms of whether or not the South East Plan will still be in place at the point this Core Strategy reaches the Examination stage, the District Council and National Park Authority have taken the decision to review certain policy approaches set out in the South East Plan. This has particularly been for the policies that are concerned with the scale and distribution of housing development in Lewes District. Individual chapters and policies detail where a particular review has been undertaken and what the outcome of this was. Where a ‘departure’ from regional policy has taken place justification is provided for why this is considered necessary.’</p>	Out of date information.

MA15	1.26	<p><i>Amend second sentence of paragraph to the following:</i></p> <p>'Amongst others, these strategies include East Sussex County Council's Local Transport Plan⁴, the relevant Catchment Flood Management Plans and Shoreline Management Plans, the East Sussex Economic Development Strategy, the Water Resources Management Plans prepared by South East Water and Southern Water, and the East Sussex Environment Strategy'</p>	Updated information.
MA16	1.31	<p><i>Addition to fourth sentence of paragraph:</i></p> <p>'However, it also needs to be recognised that consideration has been given to other issues such as findings of the evidence base, stakeholder involvement and delivering the key strategic objectives of this plan'</p>	For clarity.
MA17	1.33	<p><i>Add the following paragraph:</i></p> <p>1.334 The Duty to Co-operate</p> <p>1.33 The NPPF (paragraphs 178 to 181) requires local planning authorities to work collaboratively to address strategic priorities and development requirements across local boundaries. Hence, the District Council and National Park Authority have -had regard to the Duty to Co-operate requirement and are members of the East Sussex Strategic Planning Members Group, the Coastal West Sussex and Greater Brighton Strategic Planning Board and the West Sussex Joint Planning Board. A background paper, Justification for the Housing Strategy, has been prepared that demonstrates how the requirements of the Duty have been met. Outcomes from the collaboration undertaken are reflected within the content of a number of policies within this plan, in particular the Spatial Strategy.'</p>	Updated information providing a clear introduction to the consideration of the Duty to Co-operate in the plan, the requirements of which influence and run through the whole plan.
MA18	1.36	<p><i>Amend second and third sentences of the paragraph as follows:</i></p> <p>'Therefore, the Site Allocations and Development Management Policies DPD (also referred to as 'Part 2 of the Local Plan') will also provide the detailed and local policy that will aid in the delivery of strategic elements of this plan.</p>	For clarity.

⁴ The current version of this plan is Local Transport Plan 3, which was adopted in 2011

		Within the South Downs National Park part of the district, it will be for the South Downs National Park Local Plan will to provide these policies.'	
MA19	Section 2 - A Portrait of Lewes District 2.1 General Characteristics	<i>A number of figures have been amended in the General Characteristics section to reflect updated information such as the 2011 Census data. These updates will not be listed individually. Other amendments will be listed below:</i> <i>Delete the following from bullet point 3 of section:</i> '(ONS, Census 2011)' <i>And replace with a footnote:</i> ⁸ Population figures are ONS, 2011 Census unless alternatively referenced '	Updated information.
MA20	2.1 General Characteristics	<i>Split bullet point 6 into 2 separate bullet points and amend as follows:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ‘The towns of Haywards Heath and Burgess Hill in Mid Sussex District abut the north-western boundary and due to their available range of employment opportunities, retail, other services and facilities, including public transport links to areas such as London and Gatwick, they exert a strong influence on the rural communities within the northern part of Lewes District. –with ‘The more rural district of Wealden is located to the east, beyond which lies the coastal resort of Eastbourne.’ 	Updated information.
MA21	2.1 Environmental Characteristics	<i>A number of figures have been amended in the Environmental Characteristics section to reflect updated information. These updates will not be listed individually. Other amendments will be listed below:</i> <i>Amend bullet point 10 as follows:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ‘Air quality in the district is generally good, but an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) is in operation in Lewes town centre, where most of the air pollution is generated by traffic. – In addition, levels of Nitrogen Dioxide in the South Way area of Newhaven have recently reached maximum levels and so an AQMA will be designated in the near future. Another area of concern, in terms of air quality, is the area beside the A259 in the centre of 	Updated information.

		Newhaven, where the levels of nitrogen dioxide are close to the limits of which AQMA designation will be required'	
MA22	2.1 Social Characteristics	<i>A number of figures have been amended in the Social Characteristics section to reflect updated information. These updates will not be listed individually.</i>	Updated information and clarity
MA23	2.1 Social Characteristics	<p><i>Amend bullet point 3 as follows:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ‘The population of the district is projected to remain stable between 2010 and 2030. This is due to inward migration balancing out the natural decrease (i.e. deaths exceeding births) has risen steadily over recent decades and is expected to continue to do so during the plan period. The population increase, and in turn the demand for additional housing, is predominantly driven by high net levels of inward migration.’ 	Updated information and clarity
MA24	2.1 Social Characteristics	<p><i>Delete bullet point 5</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ‘The number of households is expected to grow by around 9% over the same period (from 43,026 to 46,508 households), mainly due to a continued decrease in average household size.’ <p><i>and insert new paragraph as follows:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The majority of the inward migration comes from Brighton & Hove, although those moving into the district from other neighbouring and nearby authorities, including London, also contribute towards the significant housing demand.’ 	Updated information and clarity
MA25	2.1 Social Characteristics	<p><i>Amend bullet point 12 to read as follows:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2,154 2,543 households are currently on the Council's Housing Register (as at March 201230 November 2013). 	Updated information and clarity
MA26	2.1 Economic Characteristics	<i>A number of figures have been amended in the Economic Characteristics section to reflect updated information. These updates will not be listed individually.</i>	Updated information.

MA27	Settlements and rural areas	<p><i>A number of figures have been amended in the General Characteristics section to reflect updated information such as the 2011 Census data. Also, for clarity, the population figures for the settlements have been changed to parish 2011 Census figures for consistency and clarity. These updates will not be listed individually. Other amendments will be listed below:</i></p> <p><i>Amend bullet point 1 of Lewes town section:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ‘Lewes is the county town of East Sussex with a population of 16,348 and lies entirely within the South Downs National Park.’ 	Updated information and clarity
MA28	Settlements and rural areas	<p><i>Add new bullet point (2) to Lewes town section:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Parish has a population of 17,297. 	Updated information and clarity
MA29	Settlements and rural areas	<p><i>Amend bullet point 1 of the Newhaven section to the following:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ‘Newhaven is located on the English Channel coast at the mouth of the River Ouse, and has a population of 12,225. Thewith the South Downs National Park surrounding<u>ings</u> the town on the landward side.’ 	Updated information and clarity
MA30	Settlements and rural areas	<p><i>Add new bullet point (2) to Newhaven section:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The parish has a population of 12,232. 	Updated information and clarity
MA31	Settlements and rural areas	<p><i>Amend final sentence of bullet point 4 of Newhaven section to the following:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ‘The port has recently been selected as the shore base for the Rampion offshore wind farm.’ 	Updated information
MA32	Settlements and rural areas	<p><i>Amend first sentence of bullet point 1 of Seaford section to the following:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ‘Seaford is the largest settlement in the district with a population of 24,044.’ 	Updated information and clarity
MA33	Settlements and rural areas	<p><i>Add new bullet point (2) to Seaford section:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The parish has a population of 23,571’ 	Updated information and clarity

MA34	Section 3 – Key Strategic Issues and Challenges Accommodating and delivering growth	Amend bullet point 1 of ‘Accommodating and delivering growth’ section to the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ‘There is a requirement to <u>seek to</u> bring forward sufficient land to meet the expected need for new homes arising from the future growth in households’ 	For clarity.
MA35	Accommodating and delivering growth	Add new bullet point (3) by inserting the following paragraph: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ‘<u>We need to balance the considerable need for new housing with the limited opportunities for growth in the district, as emphasised by the National Park designation that constrains the outward expansion of the main towns in the district.</u>’ 	For clarity.
MA36	Accommodating and delivering growth	Amend bullet point 5 to the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ‘We need to work in partnership with other <u>local authorities</u>, agencies and organisations to deliver this growth and the necessary infrastructure improvements to support it.’ 	For clarity.
MA37	3.3	Add the following line to the second sentence of paragraph 3.3 ‘The Spatial Strategy addresses ‘accommodating and delivering growth’, <u>whilst also having regard to the other headline issues and challenges</u> , and then the Core Delivery Policies address the five subsequent issues/challenges.’	For clarity.
MA38	Strategic Objective 8	Amend the additional wording for Strategic Objective 8 to the following: ‘Among other development initiatives, the District Council and National Park Authority will prioritise the redevelopment of vacant and derelict sites, <u>particularly</u> in existing urban areas, recognising the economic, social and environmental benefits and challenges. This complements the overall aim of locating new development where it makes efficient use of suitable and available land located in sustainable locations (i.e. close to existing services and public transport).’	With regard to the NPPF and for clarity.

MA39	Section 6 (Spatial Strategy) 6.4	<i>Amend paragraph 6.4 wording to the following:</i> ‘The following policies in this Core Strategy are linked to a Monitoring and Delivery Framework (Appendix 3)delivery-section , which outlines how each policy will be implemented and its effectiveness will be measured. Performance indicators willare to be used to monitor how the policies arey is working. For some policies there are also targets covering critical measures of success for the plan as a whole. The Authority Monitoring Report will detail progress and delivery against the performance indicators and targets and recommend actions where necessary to keep the plan on course to deliver the vision.’	For clarity.
MA40	The Settlement Hierarchy 6.5	<i>Amend the second sentence in paragraph 6.5 to the following:</i> ‘This was to help ensure that development will be distributed in the most sustainable manner, particularly having regard to where the need for development exists and which are the most sustainable settlements in and around the district. ’	For clarity.
MA41	The Settlement Hierarchy 6.7 Footnote 10	<i>Amend footnote 10 to the following:</i> ‘ ¹⁰ Technical Note 2 – Strategic Network of Town Centres. See: http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20100528142817/http://www.southeast-ra.gov.uk/southeastplan/plan/march_2006/tech_notes/technical_note_2-town_centres-march_2006.pdf Whilst it is recognised that the South East Plan is no longer in place, this particular evidence is still considered appropriate in this case.’	Updated link and reason for continuing to refer to this document.
MA42	Accommodating and Delivering Growth 6.9	<i>Amend the first sentence in paragraph 6.9 to the following:</i> ‘Over the coming years, Lewes District must evolve to accommodate the new development required ; meet the changing housing needs of the population; support the local economy; and develop the services and facilities needed to support the local community.’	For clarity

MA43	Accommodating and Delivering Growth 6.9	<p><i>Amend the last sentence in paragraph 6.9 to the following:</i></p> <p>'This includes the need for regeneration in areas such as Newhaven; the need to conserve and enhance the valued environment in and around Lewes town whilst <u>delivering much needed affordable housing meeting the town's considerable housing needs</u>; and, retaining and enhancing the attractive character and identity of the Low Weald villages whilst ensuring that development to meet community needs and support the rural economy is accommodated.'</p>	For clarity. The housing delivery target falls short of meeting the full, objectively assessed housing needs of the district.
MA44	Accommodating and Delivering Growth 6.11	<p><i>Amend paragraph 6.11 to the following:</i></p> <p>'The proposed development detailed in this spatial strategy will need to be supported by appropriate infrastructure that does not compromise the existing levels of infrastructure provision. Therefore, an Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) has been developed alongside this Core Strategy. The IDP sets out the infrastructure that will be required to support the level and location of development proposed, along with details of the infrastructure providers, timings and potential costs. <u>It should be recognised that the IDP is a 'live' document and will be updated on a regular basis. Such updates will include reflect any ing new and amended delivery plans prepared by infrastructure providers.</u> At this stage there are some gaps in the IDP. This is generally because certain infrastructure providers have stated that they will only definitively identify infrastructure needs once the proposed development strategy has been identified in the Proposed Submission document. Hence, publication of this document should ensure that outstanding information required for the IDP may be obtained. Despite these gaps, through ongoing liaison with the infrastructure providers, the District Council and National Park Authority are confident that no unidentified infrastructure barriers exist to prevent the proposed development strategy from being implemented.</p>	Updated information.

MA45	Employment Provision 6.24	<p><i>The first sentence of paragraph 6.23 is amended to the following:</i></p> <p>'The provision of <u>planned</u> employment land to be planned for is very much influenced by the findings of the Employment and Economic Land Assessment, which was partially updated in 2012.'</p>	Unnecessary wording removed.
MA46	Employment Provision 6.24	<p><i>Amend paragraph 6.24 to the following:</i></p> <p>'The Employment and Economic Land Assessment and the subsequent spatial strategy <u>has</u> been produced in the context of a double-dip recession (2009 – 2012) and a weak economic outlook on both a local and wider level. As a result, the economic and employment growth forecasts are somewhat lower than envisaged a few years ago. Hence, the economic growth strategy for the district has been re-examined with regard to the Sussex Coast sub-regional strategy in the South East Plan, which was developed when higher levels of economic growth were widely expected.</p>	Correction and update.
MA47	Employment Provision 6.25	<p><i>Amend the first sentence of paragraph 6.25 to the following:</i></p> <p>'Despite the relatively low growth forecasts, the need remains to set a positive strategy to aid in the recovery from the recession, <u>give flexibility for stronger economic conditions</u>, and at a local level achieve some of the key objectives for this plan, particularly for regeneration.'</p>	To emphasise the need for flexibility in the pursuit of economic development and regeneration.
MA48	Spatial Policy 2 – Distribution of Housing 6.40	<p><i>Amend last two sentences of paragraph 6.40 to the following:</i></p> <p>'This has been particularly evident for Seaford, which is the largest town in the plan area, <u>where any significant expansion of development into the surrounding countryside cannot be achieved without damage to the landscape value and scenic beauty</u>natural beauty of the National Park. Extremely limited potential for the outward expansion of the town has been identified and this has been a key contributing factor in very limited planned growth being identified for the town.'</p>	For clarity.

MA49	Spatial Policy 2 – Distribution of Housing 6.44	<i>Amend paragraph 6.44 to the following:</i> 'One such village where housing development is planned is Newick. Newick falls within a 7km zone from the edge of the Ashdown Forest Special Area of Conservation (SAC) and Special Protection Area (SPA) (see the supporting text for Core Policy 10 and the background paper on the Habitats Regulations Assessment for further information on this) and thus any development within this zone needs to be alongside the provision of Suitable Alternative Natural Green Spaces (SANGs). It is considered that the figure of 100 planned houses in Newick is deliverable as the District Council and Natural England are confident that SANGs can be delivered to mitigate such development.'	Updated information and correction.
MA50	Lewes Town 6.47	<i>Amend first sentence of paragraph 6.47 to the following:</i> 'Lewes is the county town of East Sussex (parish population: 16,348 17,297).'	Updated information to reflect the 2011 Census data and to clarify that figures are for parishes not settlements.
MA51	Haywards Heath – Wivelsfield Parish 6.67	<i>Amend first sentence of paragraph 6.67 to the following:</i> 'The town of Haywards Heath (population: 237,000) is the administrative centre of Mid Sussex District within the county of West Sussex'	To reflect the 2011 Census data.
MA52	Land at Greenhill Way/Ridge Way 6.70	<i>Amend second sentence of paragraph 6.70 to the following:</i> 'The site is considered deliverable in the early part of the plan period, albeit not immediately at the point of adoption of the plan, and it will therefore aid in the required continuous supply of housing during this period.'	Updated information. Site deliverability was expected to be dependent upon the completion of the Haywards Heath Relief Road, which is now due for completion prior to the adoption of the Core Strategy.

MA53	Land at Greenhill Way/Ridge Way 6.74	<p><i>Amend second sentence of paragraph 6.74 to the following:</i></p> <p>‘This analysis found that development of this scale could be accommodated in the local transport network subject to the Haywards Heath Relief Road having been completed (due for completion late 20162014/2015) in order to alleviate pressure on the A272 in particular.’</p>	As above.
MA54	Ringmer 6.78	<p><i>Amend third sentence of paragraph 6.78 to the following:</i></p> <p>‘Ringmer is the largest village in the plan area (the parish population, including Broyle Side, is approximately 4,6300) and it is classed as a Rural Service Centre in the Settlement Hierarchy.’</p>	To reflect the 2011 Census data and to clarify that figures are for parishes not settlements.
MA55	Newhaven 6.90	<p><i>To amend paragraph 6.90 to read:</i></p> <p>Newhaven is the smallest of the four towns in the district (parish population: 12,23225), despite being located in a strategically important position on the south coast at the mouth of the River Ouse.’</p>	To reflect the 2011 Census data and to clarify that figures are for parishes not settlements.
MA56	Section 7 – Core Delivery Policies 7.2	<p><i>Delete following paragraph 7.2:</i></p> <p>‘7.2 — The Core Delivery Policies should not duplicate policies that are contained within Regional Spatial Strategies (South East Plan), or the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF). The South East Plan is due to be abolished in the short term and national policy has been extensively reviewed, with PPS and PPG guidance being replaced by the NPPF in March 2012. Hence, in some instances adjustments have been made to the core delivery policies to ensure that they do not merely repeat the NPPF, or to add appropriate details where the content of deleted PPG and PPS documents was previously relied upon.’</p>	Remove reference made to the revoked South East Plan.

MA57	Core Policy 1 – Affordable Housing 7.3	<i>Amend paragraph 7.3 to read:</i> 'A sufficient supply of housing of all tenures, including affordable housing, is essential to meet the objectives of the Core Strategy and to meet the wide range of housing needs that will be experienced in the district over the plan period <u>as far as sustainably possible.</u> '	For clarity. The housing delivery target falls short of meeting the full, objectively assessed housing needs of the district.
MA58	Core Policy 1 7.9	<i>Amend final sentence of paragraph 7.9 to read:</i> 'The Viability Assessment also included viability based consideration of the relationship between affordable housing provision and the potential implications of a future Community Infrastructure Levy Charging Schedule and the need to meeting at least the full Level 4 requirements of the Code for Sustainable Homes.'	For clarity.
MA59	Core Policy 3 – Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation. 7.28	<i>Insertion of footnote to paragraph 7.28:</i> ²³ <u>For the definition of Gypsies and Travellers, see Appendix 1:Glossary'</u>	To clarify the term 'Gypsies and Travellers' for purposes of Core Policy 3.

MA60	Core Policy 3 7.29	<p><i>Amend paragraph 7.29 to read:</i></p> <p>'7.29 In 2005 East Sussex Local Authorities and Brighton & Hove City Council (BHCC) commissioned a Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment (GTAA) to assess the needs of Gypsies and Travellers in the East Sussex and Brighton & Hove (B&H) county-group. This Assessment was undertaken in response to Circular 01/2006 <i>Planning for Gypsy and Traveller Caravan Sites</i> and Circular 04/2007 <i>Planning for Travelling Showpeople</i>, which emphasised the importance of assessing accommodation needs²⁴. The GTAA went through a process of external benchmarking²⁵, the outcomes of which then informed formal local planning authority advice submitted to the South East England Regional Assembly (SEERA) as part of the South East Plan (SEP) Gypsy and Traveller provision (Policy H7) Partial Review²⁶.'</p>	For consistency of reference throughout the paragraph and to amend a typographical error.
MA61	Core Policy 3 7.30	<p><i>Amend paragraph 7.30 to read:</i></p> <p>'7.30 In response to Government's announcement in July 2010 to revoke Regional Spatial Strategies, the Review was abandoned. Consequently, East Sussex and BHCC Brighton & Hove local planning authorities outlined a joint approach to establish local pitch requirement figures using available robust information.'</p>	For consistency with paragraph 7.29.
MA62	Core Policy 3 7.33	<p><i>Amend paragraph 7.33 to read:</i></p> <p>'The draft South East Plan policy Policy H7 also outlines outlined the requirement for local planning authorities to make appropriate provision for transit and temporary stopping places. There are currently 2 transit sites in the East Sussex/ Brighton & Hove county-group at: Bridie's Tan (Lewes District) and Horsdean (Brighton & Hove CC). An indicative need of 8 transit pitches (potentially 2 sites) has had been identified for East Sussex/ B&H Brighton & Hove county group area²⁷. The local planning authority authorities will work with relevant partners to locate additional suitable site(s)²⁸.'</p>	To correct typological errors and for consistency of reference throughout the paragraph.

MA63	Core Policy 7 – Infrastructure 7.75	<p><i>Amend paragraph 7.75 to exclude reference to the South East Plan:</i></p> <p>‘National planning policy and the South East Plan require <u>requires</u> developments to make appropriate provision for the infrastructure and services that will be needed by that development, either on-site or via an appropriate financial contribution towards off-site provision. The South East Plan also requires that the scale and pace of development should depend upon sufficient infrastructure capacity being available to service the needs of the development. It is important to ensure that infrastructure provision keeps pace with new development; <u>the timely provision of the infrastructure requirements associated with growth is critical to ensuring that the district is a place where people want to be – whether to live, work, study or visit.</u>’</p>	To remove reference to the revoked South East Plan.
MA64	Core Policy 7 7.77	<p><i>Amend first sentence of paragraph 7.77 to read:</i></p> <p>‘The District Council and the National Park Authority will work together to <u>each</u> introduce a Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) in order that the cumulative costs of new infrastructure provision are shared by all developments in a proportionate manner.’</p>	Each local planning authority is producing a separate CIL Charging Schedule for their respective charging areas. However, where appropriate, some evidence has been produced jointly for the introduction of CIL.
MA65	Core Policy 8 – Green Infrastructure 7.82	<p><i>Amend paragraph 7.82 as follows:</i></p> <p>‘Whilst access to green infrastructure in Lewes dDistrict is generally adequate, the Lewes District Outdoor Playing Space Review 2004, the Lewes District Informal Recreation Study 2005, and the East Sussex Strategic Open Space Study 2011 <u>and the Access Network and Accessible Natural Green Space Study 2014</u> identified localities where there are deficiencies in provision compared to identified needs/demand.’</p>	Updated information.
MA66	7.84	<p><i>Delete from paragraph 7.84 the following:</i></p> <p>‘However, there are concerns with regard to nitrogen dioxide emissions in certain areas of the district.’</p>	Unnecessary wording removed.

MA67	7.90	<p><i>Amend final sentence of paragraph 7.90 as follows:</i></p> <p>'The National Park Authority has statutory National Park purposesⁱ as specified in the Environment Act 1995, which will be fundamental as the SDNPA develops implements its National Park Management Plan and develops the National Park Management Plan and its Local Plan.'</p>	Updated information.
MA68	7.107	<p><i>Amend Title in the text box as follows:</i></p> <p><u>'Core Policy 12 – Flood Risk, Coastal Erosion, and Sustainable Drainage and Slope Stability'</u></p>	Correction for consistency with the title of Core Policy 12.
MA69	Appendix 1 Glossary	<p><i>Amend the definition as follows:</i></p> <p>'Employment Land and Sites - that which is in use for the following purposes – office, industrial and warehousing.'</p>	Correction to reflect the terminology in Core policy 4.
MA70	Glossary	<p><i>Add the following definition:</i></p> <p><u>'Gypsies and Travellers - Persons of nomadic habit of life whatever their race or origin, including such persons who on grounds only of their own or their family's or dependants' educational or health needs or old age have ceased to travel temporarily or permanently, but excluding members of an organised group of travelling showpeople or circus people travelling together as such.'</u></p>	Additional glossary items added.
MA71	Glossary	<p><i>Add the following definition:</i></p> <p><u>'Local Enterprise Partnership (LEP) – partnerships between local authorities and businesses. They decide the priorities for investment in roads, buildings and facilities in the LEP area.'</u></p>	Additional glossary items added.

MA72	Glossary	<p><i>Add the following definition:</i></p> <p><u>‘Objectively Assessed Need (OAN)</u> – in the NPPF the government set the requirement for local planning authorities, in their Local Plan, to boost significantly the supply of housing and to plan to meet the full, objectively assessed needs for market and affordable housing in the housing market area (so far as is consistent with other policies set out in the NPPF). While no formal definition of OAN is provided, or any definitive guidance on how it should be assessed, it is essentially a raw assessment of the overall demand for affordable and market housing in the plan area, over the plan period. It is based on fact and evidence; all other constraints to housing delivery such as environmental designations and infrastructure capacity are not applied when calculating OAN.’</p>	Additional glossary items added.
MA73	Glossary	<p><i>Add the following definition:</i></p> <p><u>‘Planning Practice Guidance</u> – a web-based resource of national planning guidance covering a range of topics and linked to the National Planning Policy Framework.’</p>	Additional glossary items added.
MA74	Glossary	<p><i>Add the following definition:</i></p> <p><u>‘Travelling Showpeople</u> - Members of a group organised for the purposes of holding fairs, circuses or shows (whether or not travelling together as such). This includes such persons who on the grounds of their own or their family’s or dependants’ more localised pattern of trading, educational or health needs or old age have ceased to travel temporarily or permanently, but excludes Gypsies and Travellers as defined above.’</p>	Additional glossary items added.

MA75	Appendix 3 - Monitoring Framework	<i>Numerous amendments have been made to the Monitoring Framework since the publication of the Proposed Submission Core Strategy. The majority of these amendments have been made to reflect the latest data collected by the Council and updates to key sources such as the 2011 Census. These amendments will not be individually listed but can be viewed in the Focussed Amendments document. Some key changes have been made to the monitoring framework which are listed below</i>	
MA76	Monitoring Framework	Amend the title to the following: 'APPENDIX 3 – MONITORING <u>AND DELIVERY</u> FRAMEWORK'	Correction
MA77	Monitoring Framework Spatial Policies 1 - 6	<i>Reword Spatial Policy section target to the following:</i> 'To deliver <u>a minimum of 4,5005,600</u> net additional dwellings between 2010 and 2030 (<u>225-280</u> per annum) and maintain a sufficient housing land supply.'	Updated figures to reflect the changes proposed to the Spatial Policies.
MA78	Monitoring Framework Spatial Policies 1 - 6	<i>Add the following indicators to the Spatial Policy section:</i> ' <u>Cumulative number of dwelling completions (net)</u> ' ' <u>A review of the Spatial Policies 1 and 2 will be triggered in April 2022 if transport mitigation measures to accommodate the additional 440 homes at Peacehaven/Telscombe have not been delivered identified to solve capacity constraints on the A259 to the satisfaction and agreement of the local highway authority</u> '	Updated figures to reflect the changes proposed to the Spatial Policies and to ensure that in the event of the additional homes not being delivered in a timely manner a review will be undertaken to seek ways to address this in order to keep the overall housing delivery on track.
MA79	Monitoring Framework Spatial Policies 1 - 6	<i>Amend the following indicator to the Spatial Policy section:</i> ' <u>Number of new dwellings completed per annum-Total number of housing completions for previous monitoring year (net)(net)</u> '	New indicator for improved monitoring

MA80	Monitoring Framework Spatial Policies 1 - 6	<i>Add the following indicator to the Spatial Policies section:</i> <u>'Cumulative amount of floorspace developed for employment land (gross)'</u>	New indicator added for improved monitoring
MA81	Monitoring Framework Core Policy 3	<i>Add the following to the target for Core Policy 3:</i> <u>'* pitch requirements for the plan period to be updated once the current Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople requirements update results are known.'</u>	The pitch requirements are not yet available but this section will be updated once this is established from the GTAA currently being undertaken to inform Core Policy 3.
MA82	Monitoring Framework Core Policy 7	<i>Make the following amendment to the indicator for Target 1 of Core Policy 7 section:</i> <u>'List of services/facilities that have been lost/gained in the past year Net loss/gain (completions) of community services and facilities (d1 and D2) in the past year (sq m)'</u>	The indicator has been amended for monitoring accuracy
MA83	Monitoring Framework	<i>Delete second target of Core Policy 14 section and replace as follows:</i> <u>'To meet Code for Sustainable Homes level 4 for all new residential development All new dwellings to achieve Code for Sustainable Homes Level 4 in relation to water consumption'</u>	To align with amended wording for Core Policy 14