

Lewes District Local Plan

Part 1: Joint Core Strategy – Submission Document

Additional Modifications

Schedule 4

July 2015

Additional Modifications proposed in response to the Hearing Sessions and the Inspector's Initial Findings Letter



Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

Context for the Modifications¹

Schedule 4 – below sets out Additional Modifications ('non-main' or 'minor' modifications) proposed in response to discussions at the Examination Hearings in January 2015 and in response to the Inspector's Initial Findings Letter of 10 February 2015 [ID-05 http://www.lewes.gov.uk/Files/plan_ID-05_Letter_to_Councils_10_Feb_2015.pdf].

We have also prepared three other Schedules of proposed modifications:

Schedule 1 - Main and Additional modifications to the Joint Core Strategy Submission Document that the local planning authorities have identified following the pre-submission publication of the Focussed Amendments document under Regulation 19 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. These modifications have arisen post-publication and are generally recommended to address a matter raised in representations made; to update information; or to correct drafting errors in the document. The reason for each modification is given in the relevant table. This schedule was originally submitted as examination document CD/004 in September 2014.

Schedule 2 – Main and Additional Modifications proposed to the Inspector in our January 2015 Written Matters Statements. This was originally submitted as Table 2 of examination document LDC/015. Where changes have subsequently been made, or modifications in Table 2 have been superseded in Schedules 3 or 4, this is indicated in Schedule 2.

Schedule 3 – Main Modifications proposed in response to discussions at the Examination Hearings in January 2015 and in response to the Inspector's Initial Findings Letter of 10 February 2015 [ID-05 http://www.lewes.gov.uk/Files/plan_ID-05_Letter_to_Councils_10_Feb_2015.pdf]. NB. This schedule includes Main Modifications originally included in Schedules 1 or 2. Schedule 3 therefore sets out all modifications proposed since September 2014 that are considered to be 'Main Modifications'. It is the Main Modifications that the Inspector will consider in his Final Report, hence they have been compiled together in Schedule 3 for ease of reference.

¹ 'Main' Modifications are those changes that are necessary for the soundness of the plan. It is only the Main Modifications that the Inspector will deal with in his final report. 'Additional' Modifications are all other changes (sometimes called 'minor' modifications) including corrections and additional supporting text and clarification, which the local planning authority considers of benefit to the plan and/or its implementation but do not change the intent of the strategy itself.

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

The Inspector is invited by the Local Planning Authorities to consider the Main Modifications to the Joint Core Strategy Submission Document under Section 20(7) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

MAIN MODIFICATIONS are highlighted in blue 

SUPERSEDED modifications are greyed out 

NB All page numbers, footnotes and paragraph numbers etc quoted relate to the September 2014 Submission Joint Core Strategy document. Page, paragraph and policy numbers may change once the modifications are included in the final draft.

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

Schedule 4			
Additional Modification Number	Joint Core Strategy Submission Document Reference / Location	Proposed Change	Reason
AM01	Section 5 (Strategic Objectives) Strategic Objective 10, p.32	Amend Strategic Objective 10 by adding the words ‘locally contributing’ after the word ‘reduces’ and deleting the words ‘, including through the implementation of the highest feasible standards of sustainable construction techniques in new developments,’ to read: To ensure that the district reduces locally contributing causes of climate change and is proactive regarding climate change initiatives	As agreed at the Hearings in response to a representation by the Home Builders Federation and to correct a typing error/omission .
AM02	Section 6 (Spatial Strategy) Para.6.14, p.38	Delete the final two sentences of paragraph 6.14.	Consequent to MM01
AM03	Section 6 (Spatial Strategy) Para. 6.15, p.38	Amend the first sentence of paragraph 6.15 by deleting the words ‘This latter assessment explains how’ to read: The District Council and the National Park have sought to explore a range of options in order to accommodate the full objectively assessed need for housing within the plan area.	Consequent to AM02
AM04	Section 6 (Spatial Strategy)	Delete the final two sentences of paragraph 6.18.	Consequent to AM02

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

	Para 6.18 p.39		
AM05	Section 6 (Spatial Strategy) Para 6.19, p.39	Delete paragraph 6.19 and insert new text to read: In the light of these considerations, it is concluded that the overall target for net additional housing in the district should be a minimum of 6,900 dwellings between 2010 and 2030 (an average of 345 dwellings per annum). This target is less than the full objectively assessed housing need for the district. However, it is considered to be the level of housing growth that most appropriately balances the objective of meeting housing needs with the aims of achieving sustainable development and sustainable communities.	Consequent to MM01
AM06	Section 6 (Spatial Strategy) Para.6.22, p.40	Amend paragraph 6.22 by deleting the final three sentences and inserting new text to read: If a longer-term potential solution which affects the plan area is agreed, the District Council and the National Park Authority are committed to a review of the Core Strategy if this is necessary to deliver it.	To update the text
AM07	Section 6 (Spatial Strategy) Para.6.27, p.41	Amend the final sentence to read: Spatial Policies <u>1</u> and <u>3</u> addresses this issue.	For clarity.

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

<p>AM08</p>	<p>Section 6 (Spatial Strategy)</p> <p>Table 4, p.42</p>	<p>Amend the figures in Table 4 as follows:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="689 272 1765 1161"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>(A) Total requirement</th> <th>(B) Already developed in the plan period (April 2010 – April 2012 2015)</th> <th>(C) Units permitted but not yet implemented (includes units under construction)¹⁶</th> <th>(D) Units considered deliverable having made sufficient progress through the planning process¹⁷</th> <th>(E) Unimplemented Local Plan allocations for housing¹⁸.</th> <th>Residual requirement to plan for (A – B, C, D & E)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Housing (within the National Park)</td> <td>Plan – wide requirement : :</td> <td>77 <u>220</u></td> <td>163 <u>142</u></td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>Plan – wide requirement:</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Housing (outside of the National Park)</td> <td>5,600 <u>6,900</u></td> <td>554 <u>800</u></td> <td>964 <u>1131</u></td> <td>77 <u>183</u></td> <td>227 <u>102</u></td> <td>3,544 <u>4,322</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		(A) Total requirement	(B) Already developed in the plan period (April 2010 – April 2012 2015)	(C) Units permitted but not yet implemented (includes units under construction) ¹⁶	(D) Units considered deliverable having made sufficient progress through the planning process ¹⁷	(E) Unimplemented Local Plan allocations for housing ¹⁸ .	Residual requirement to plan for (A – B, C, D & E)	Housing (within the National Park)	Plan – wide requirement : :	77 <u>220</u>	163 <u>142</u>	0	0	Plan – wide requirement:	Housing (outside of the National Park)	5,600 <u>6,900</u>	554 <u>800</u>	964 <u>1131</u>	77 <u>183</u>	227 <u>102</u>	3,544 <u>4,322</u>	<p>To update the figures and consequent to MM01</p>
	(A) Total requirement	(B) Already developed in the plan period (April 2010 – April 2012 2015)	(C) Units permitted but not yet implemented (includes units under construction) ¹⁶	(D) Units considered deliverable having made sufficient progress through the planning process ¹⁷	(E) Unimplemented Local Plan allocations for housing ¹⁸ .	Residual requirement to plan for (A – B, C, D & E)																		
Housing (within the National Park)	Plan – wide requirement : :	77 <u>220</u>	163 <u>142</u>	0	0	Plan – wide requirement:																		
Housing (outside of the National Park)	5,600 <u>6,900</u>	554 <u>800</u>	964 <u>1131</u>	77 <u>183</u>	227 <u>102</u>	3,544 <u>4,322</u>																		
<p>AM09</p>	<p>Section 6 (Spatial Strategy)</p>	<p>Delete footnote 15. Re-number subsequent footnotes accordingly.</p>	<p>Consequent to AM02</p>																					

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

	Footnote 15, p.42		
AM10	Section 6 (Spatial Strategy) Para.6.35, p.44	Amend paragraph 6.35 by deleting the final three sentences.	Consequent to MM06
AM11	Section 6 (Spatial Strategy) Para.6.36, p.44	Delete paragraph 6.36	There are no broad locations in the plan consequent to MM13
AM12	Section 6 (Spatial Strategy) Para.6.37, p.44	Amend the first sentence of paragraph 6.37 by deleting the words ‘and the identification of broad locations’.	Consequent to MM13
AM13	Section 6 (Spatial Strategy) Footnote 18, p.45	Delete footnote 18.	Consequent to AM02
AM14	Section 6 (Spatial Strategy) Para.6.38, p.46	Delete paragraph 6.38 and insert new text to read: The planned levels of housing growth for each settlement over the plan period is summarised in Table 5 below. This summary sets out the homes already built, committed developments, strategic site allocations, and planned growth to	Consequent to MM02

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

		<p>be brought forward through future allocations, by settlement. The residual 207 homes that are yet to be attributed to any settlement will be identified through subsequent non-strategic allocations. All reasonable options for distributing these 207 homes in the most sustainable manner will be considered. This will be achieved through subsequent allocations in Local Plan Part 2, the SDNPA Local Plan or Neighbourhood Plans. For some settlements this may involve some additional housing land allocation in Local Plan Part 2 or SDNP Local Plan, beyond those identified in a Neighbourhood Plan. In considering all reasonable options regard will be had to a number of factors, including: each settlements capacity for appropriate housing development; the relative sustainability of settlements; the cumulative impacts of existing and potential allocations; and relevant policy considerations and constraints including policy requirements of Neighbourhood Plans as relevant to housing site selection (in such cases weight will be attributed according to how advanced the Neighbourhood Plan is at the time of site selection).</p>	
AM15	<p>Section 6 (Spatial Strategy)</p> <p>Footnote 19, p.46</p>	Delete footnote 19.	Consequent to MM02
AM16	<p>Section 6 (Spatial Strategy)</p> <p>Footnotes 20, p.46</p>	Delete footnote 20.	Consequent to MM02
AM17	<p>Section 6 (Spatial Strategy)</p> <p>Para.6.41, p.47</p>	Delete paragraph 6.41.	Consequent to MM02

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

AM18	Section 6 (Spatial Strategy) Para.6.42, p.47	Delete paragraph 6.42.	Consequent to MM02
AM19	Section 6 (Spatial Strategy) Para.6.46, p.49	Delete the words: “, as well as the broad locations for growth,”	To reflect that there are no broad locations now included in the plan.
AM20	Section 6 (Spatial Strategy) Para.6.50, p.49	Amend paragraph 6.50 to read: Very few sites are suitable for strategic level opportunities for growth and redevelopment within and around Lewes town. The main current opportunity is for the redevelopment of a centrally located site, as detailed within the following policy <u>Spatial Policy 3. A further opportunity is presented by a greenfield site at Old Malling Farm as described in Spatial Policy 4 and its justification below.</u>	Consequent to MM05
AM21	Section 6 (Spatial Strategy) Spatial Policy 5 additional wording to justification text paragraphs 6.85 and 6.86 p60	Insert additional wording to the end of paragraph 6.85 to read: At present the site is in agricultural use and is primarily used for grazing purposes. The site lies within an area with some archaeological (from Medieval pottery production) and ecological interest, although the site itself is not subject to any formal biodiversity designations such as SSSI's, SNCI's and Ancient Woodland. With regards to these interests a Phase 1 Habitat Survey and an Archaeological Desk Based Assessment have already been undertaken. This survey and assessment demonstrate that these ecological and archaeological interests will not preclude development of this site, although further survey work will be	For justification and explanation of the additional criterion iii) above.

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

		<p>required and any mitigation measures appropriately implemented. <u>In addition, preliminary hedgerow surveys have been undertaken on the existing boundary and internal hedgerows. The surveys concluded the existence of potentially 'important' hedgerows. It is not considered that this would preclude development of this site, including access on to Bishops Lane, but should form part of the detailed planning consideration at the planning application stage.</u></p> <p>Delete paragraph 6.86.</p> <p>6.86—The policy requires the housing to be completed only once increased capacity is provided at the Neaves Lane Waste Water Treatment Works, which will serve this development. At present, the treatment works is operating close to its designed capacity with only limited headroom for accommodating additional housing (there is not enough headroom to accommodate the 110 units planned for this strategic site). Southern Water has indicated that the works can be expanded, whilst according with the Environment Agency’s consenting requirements. Southern Water will seek funding for these works through the periodic review process. The next periodic review is due to take place in 2014 and assuming that funding for the required works is approved, the additional capacity should be provided by 2016. Although construction of the properties will be able to commence in advance of the additional capacity being provided, completion and occupation will only be permitted once this has occurred.</p>	<p>Consequent to MM12.</p>
AM22	Core Policy 1 para 7.10 and 7.11 p68	<p>Amend and merge the two paragraphs to read:</p> <p>7.10 The Affordable Housing Viability Assessment recommended a flexible approach to affordable housing delivery, with a district wide target (rather than requirement) of 40% and a graduated threshold for delivery as set</p>	<p>For clarification and to reflect the changes to national</p>

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

		<p style="text-align: center;">out in the core policy below.</p> <p>7.11—The graduated threshold for developments of less than 10 units is to reflect the increased build costs and generally somewhat reduced viability demonstrated for the smaller development sites.</p>	policy.
AM23	Core Policy 1 para 7.14 p68	<p>Amend paragraph 7.14 to read:</p> <p>The actual affordable housing requirement may be determined on a site by site basis, taking into consideration market and site conditions. The target levels shown in the policy below^{above} will be expected to be provided by all developments² of <u>6 (net) dwellings within the national park (Designated Rural Area) and 113</u> (net) or more dwelling units <u>elsewhere in the district</u> (including conversions and subdivisions) unless the local planning authority is satisfied by robust financial viability evidence that development would not be financially viable at the relevant target level. Such evidence is required to be submitted by the applicant with the planning application to justify any reduced levels of affordable housing provision proposed and may be subject to independent assessment (by the Valuation Office Agency or equivalent<u>other appropriately qualified independent assessor</u>). An open-book approach will be taken and with the onus being on the applicant to clearly demonstrate the case for the reduced level of affordable housing proposed. Applicants intending to make a planning application with a reduced level of affordable housing provision below the relevant identified target are strongly advised to raise this with planning officers in pre-application discussions.</p>	For clarification and to reflect the changes to national policy.
AM24	Core Policy 1 para 7.15 p69	<p>Insert additional footnote regarding contributions in lieu to read:</p> <p><u>For developments of 6 – 10 units inclusive located in a Designated Rural Area</u></p>	For clarification and to reflect

² Where a development has a maximum combined gross floorspace of more than 1000sqm (gross internal area) it will be expected to provide the target level of affordable housing even if the total number of units provided is 10 or less (5 units or less in Designated Rural Areas), unless robust viability evidence demonstrates otherwise.

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

		<u>the local planning authority will seek affordable housing contributions as commuted sum financial payments in accordance with the targets/thresholds in the policy below.</u>	the changes to national policy.
AM25	Core Policy 1 para 7.16 p69	Delete wording as follows : (shared-ownership)	For clarification to reflect the amended definition of intermediate housing as added in the glossary.
AM26	Core Policy 1 para 7.19 p69	Amend the paragraph as follows: For clarity, the affordable housing policy applies to sheltered, extra care and assisted living residential development in the same way as it does to general dwelling houses, where each residential unit has its own kitchen and bathroom facilities and therefore falls within the C3 Use Class. It also applies to conversions and subdivisions where there is a net residential gain of 3 or more dwelling units <u>in accordance with the target and thresholds set out in the policy below.</u>	For clarification and to reflect the changes to national policy.
AM27	Core Policy 1 para 7.21 p69	Additional paragraph 7.21: <u>In November 2014 a Written Ministerial Statement set out changes in Government policy relating to planning obligations intended to support small-scale developers, custom and self-builders. This included disallowing local planning authorities from seeking affordable housing contributions for schemes of 10 dwelling units or less and of 5 units or less in Designated Rural Areas where the combined gross internal floor space of the units does not exceed 1,000sqm. This position is reflected in Core Policy 1. Designated Rural Areas,</u>	For clarification and to reflect the changes to national policy.

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

		<u>as defined by the Written Ministerial Statement, include national parks and therefore includes all areas of the district within the South Downs National Park, including the town of Lewes. The district currently contains no other designated rural areas for the purposes of this policy.</u>	
AM28	Core Policy 2 p73	<p>Criterion 1 – delete part second sentence to read:</p> <p>1. Provide a range of dwelling types and sizes to meet the identified local need, based on the best available evidence. This need will generally include accommodation appropriate for the ageing population, and 1 and 2 bedroom homes for single person households and couples with no dependents. Account will also need to be given to the existing character and housing mix of the vicinity and, where appropriate, the setting of the National Park and its Purposes and Duty.</p>	This is better located in criterion 2.
AM29	Core Policy 2 supporting text p72	<p>Insert additional sentences to paragraph 7.22 and additional paragraphs 7.23 and 7.24 to read:</p> <p>7.22 There has been a general trend over recent years towards the provision of flats/maisonettes, but there was still also a strong growth in the provision of semi detached and detached dwellings in the district. The Local Housing Needs Assessment has identified that the main growth in demand to 2030 will be for dwellings for older people and small homes for single person households and couples with no dependents. However, there will also be a need for family homes, particularly due to the level of under-occupation of larger family homes in the district, creating pressures of demand (and therefore on affordability) for homes of this type. Dwellings suitable for older people are likely to include a combination of smaller units to allow people to downsize in the area in which they want to live; flexible and adaptable ‘Lifetime Homes’; and specialist accommodation such as nursing homes and extra care homes. <u>LDC and SDNPA are currently working</u></p>	<p>This supersedes MOD39.</p> <p>To explain the amendment to Criterion 2 with regard to Lifetime Homes requirements.</p> <p>To explain when C2 units will be counted against the</p>

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

		<p><u>in partnership with East Sussex County Council (ESCC) and all other East Sussex local planning authorities in preparing and updating guidance on housing for older people. This work will include assessing in detail the specific future accommodation needs of older people within the district. The findings of this work will then feed into identifying sites and local requirements through the Site Allocations and Development Policies DPD, SDNPA Local Plan or Neighbourhood Plans where relevant.</u></p> <p><u>7.23 In order to provide clarity for prospective developers the minimum requirement for new homes of 10% of homes to meet the Lifetime Homes Standard has been set out in the policy. This requirement has been assessed for viability to ensure deliverability. Lifetime Homes Standard requires a range of features that make housing more functional and adaptable for everyone including families, disabled people and older people. The Standard is based on sixteen design criteria which cover both internal and external features of individual dwellings and also internal and external communal areas of blocks of dwellings.</u></p> <p><u>7.243 With an ageing population it is particularly important to accommodate the needs of the elderly with suitably designed accommodation within an environment that provides an appropriate level of care. Traditionally older persons housing has been aimed at a particular stage in an older person’s life, e.g. care homes and sheltered housing, but new models of provision (e.g. continuing care retirement communities) can support older peoples’ housing needs through a range of stages of later life. Paragraph: 3-037 of the PPG says: “Local planning authorities should count housing provided for older people, including residential institutions in Use Class C2, against their housing requirement. The approach taken, which may include site allocations, should be clearly set out in the Local Plan.” In monitoring the provision of housing development to meet</u></p>	<p>housing requirement.</p> <p>To reflect the additional work that the District Council and SDNPA are doing with ESCC regarding assessing Older People’s housing needs.</p>
--	--	---	---

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

		<p>the requirements of SP2, LDC and the SDNPA will include <u>against the housing target</u> individual units of C2 accommodation <u>where they provide self-contained homes with private kitchen and bathroom facilities, living area and own front door</u> (including where the front door is accessed from a communal and/or internal hall or corridor).</p>	
AM30	Core Policy 2 p73	<p>Amend Criterion 3 to read:</p> <p>3. Achieve residential densities <u>Reflect the site context including the character of the surrounding area, site accessibility, and the size and type of dwellings needed in the locality, to achieve densities</u> in the region of 47 to 57 dwellings per hectare for the towns and 20 to 30 dwellings per hectare for the villages. Exceptions will be made where individual sites merit lower or Hhigher or lower densities may be justified by the specific character and context of a site. when taking into consideration the site context including the character of the surrounding area, site accessibility, and the size/type of dwellings needed in the locality. Densities to be achieved on <u>strategic sites are indicated in the capacity and development principles of each strategic allocation in this Core Strategy. Densities to be achieved on non-strategic</u> allocated sites will be <u>similarly</u> identified in the development principles that accompany <u>each</u> the site allocation in the relevant <u>subsequent</u> DPD.</p>	For clarification of the expectations of development proposals for site density.
AM31	Core Delivery Policies paragraph 7.30 <u>Expanded from MOD40</u>	<p>Amend text to read:</p> <p>The 2014 GTAA sets out the recommended level of permanent pitch provision for each of the local planning authorities within East Sussex, including the area of the SDNP that falls within the County. <u>The GTAA sets out an overall figure of 13 net additional permanent pitches to be delivered within Lewes district.</u> The figure for Lewes District has been disaggregated <u>in the GTAA</u> between those areas that fall within and outside the SDNP, <u>8 and 5 net additional permanent</u></p>	To include updated figures from the December 2014 GTAA.

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

		<p><u>pitches respectively</u>, in order that each local planning authority is able to plan for their authority area. These disaggregated figures are reflected in Policy CP3. <u>The local planning authorities will consider how best to meet the identified additional permanent pitch needs of the district. This may mean making allocations within the area outside the National Park to serve some or all of the disaggregated needs of the National Park area of the district. Conversely, it may mean making allocations within the National Park area to serve the disaggregated needs of the part of the district outside the national park. These allocations will be provided in subsequent DPDs.</u></p>	
AM32	<p>Core Delivery Policies paragraph 7.31</p> <p>In addition to MOD40</p>	<p>Insert an additional paragraph to read:</p> <p>Provision of 8 net additional transit pitches in East Sussex, including the area within the National Park, will be made over the Plan period having regard to the above criteria. This work will be progressed with the other East Sussex local planning authorities.</p>	<p>To clarify the need identified in the 2014 GTAA for additional transit pitches for the whole county. Further work will be undertaken to identify where in the county these should be located.</p>
AM33	<p>Core Delivery Policies paragraph 7.44 p79</p>	<p>Add to paragraph 7.44 to read:</p> <p>Where an application is made to change the use of an employment site to another use it will need to be supported by appropriate and robust evidence to demonstrate the economic viability or environmental amenity case proposed for</p>	<p>To provide clarification on what would be required to demonstrate</p>

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

		not retaining the site for employment use. A demonstrated lack of developer <u>or tenant/occupier</u> interest would include, as a minimum, evidence of at least 12 months of active and continuous marketing, including advertising, for employment use at an appropriate market level and evidence of no unreasonable barriers to potential employment <u>tenants/occupants</u> . Details of the numbers and types of interested parties and reasons for not pursuing their interest in the site for employment use will be expected.	CP4 criteria 2 i. and ii. for an application to change the use of an existing employment site to a non-employment use.
AM34	Core Policy 6 p87 Lewes District Retail Hierarchy table	Delete 'Newhaven' from the <u>Local Centre</u> box. Insert 'Newhaven town centre (within the ring-road)' to the <u>District Retail Centre</u> box.	To reflect Newhaven town centre's position in the hierarchy as agreed at the Hearing session.
AM35	Core Policy 6 p88 paragraph 7.61	Amend paragraph to read: In Newhaven town centre (the area within the ring road) there has been a marked decline in the range of retail and retail services available and the area has struggled further through the recent adverse economic conditions. Consequently the retail function of the area has reduced and is classified as a Local Centre in the retail hierarchy. However, it is envisaged that with the wider regeneration of the town, including a revitalised and more accessible town centre, <u>the vibrancy and vitality of the town centre will increase, befitting of its classification as a District Retail Centre</u> the position of Newhaven town centre will be upgraded to District Retail Centre in time. In addition, Newhaven occupies an important geographic location between Seaford and Peacehaven whereby it offers the ideal strategic position to service the surplus retail needs	Consequent to AM34

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

		of Seaford and Peacehaven that cannot be met within those towns, as well as its own needs.	
AM36	Section 7 (Core Delivery Policies) Core Policy 9 p.97	Amend Key Strategic Objectives Inset Box by deleting the words ‘, including through the implementation of the highest feasible standards of sustainable construction techniques in new developments,’ and inserting the words ‘and is proactive regarding climate change initiatives’ to read: To ensure that the district reduces locally contributing causes of climate change and is proactive regarding climate change initiatives	To reflect the Hearing discussions and the Housing Standards Review.
AM37	Section 7 (Core Delivery Policies) Paragraph 7.84 p.97	In general, air quality in the district is good. However, there are concerns with regard to nitrogen dioxide emissions in certain areas. In 2005 an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) was declared in Lewes town centre for nitrogen dioxide, mainly due to emissions from traffic. <u>In 2014 an AQMA was declared in Newhaven town centre, also as a result of nitrogen dioxide emissions from transport.</u> Declaration of an AQMA is necessary under Part 4 of the Environment Act 1995, when certain statutory air quality thresholds are breached. There are similar concerns in the South Way area of Newhaven, which has not yet been declared an AQMA but where nitrogen dioxide has been recorded at levels approaching the maximum acceptable limits.	To reflect the declaration of the Newhaven AQMA.
AM38	Section 7 (Core Delivery Policies) Paragraph 7.86 p.97	Update paragraph to read: Air quality is closely controlled by European Directives that set out statutory health-based objectives for key air pollutants that Member States are expected to achieve by certain dates. These targets have been transposed into minimum national standards for certain air pollutants, which are set out in the Air Quality Standards Regulations 2010. For nitrogen dioxide there are two targets: • Level not to exceed 200µg.m-3 more than 18 times a year (1 hour mean) - something which is not currently an issue in Lewes District.	To reflect the declaration of the Newhaven AQMA.

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The annual mean should not exceed 40µg.m-3 – currently exceeded in Lewes and Newhaven, causing the AQMAs to be declared town centre, causing the AQMA to be declared. 	
AM39	<p>Section 7 (Core Delivery Policies)</p> <p>Paragraph 7.87 p.97</p>	<p>Update paragraph to read:</p> <p>An Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) has been produced for the Lewes town centre AQMA, including a number of measures that aim to improve air quality. It is expected that development will aid in the delivery of the AQAP by either providing measures set out in the AQAP or by funding their delivery, thereby mitigating the development’s potential negative impacts. <u>An AQAP will be produced for Newhaven in 2016.</u></p>	To reflect the declaration of the Newhaven AQMA.
AM40	<p>Section 7 (Core Delivery Policies)</p> <p>Paragraph 7.99 p.101</p>	<p>Amend first sentence of para 7.99 to read:</p> <p>As such, additional residential development within the 7km zone will be required to mitigate their potential harm by aiding in the delivery of Suitable Alternative Natural Greenspaces (SANGs) and <u>the Ashdown Forest Strategic Access Management and Monitoring Strategy (SAMMS)</u> other measures as listed in Core Policy 10. The District Council and National Park Authority, working in partnership with neighbouring authorities, will develop guidance that details the costs that will be sought from such development towards mitigation measures.</p>	To clarify what is meant by ‘other measures’.
AM41	<p>Section 7 (Core Delivery Policies)</p> <p>Core Policy 10 p.102</p>	<p>Amend criterion 1.i. to read:</p> <p>i. <u>Maintaining and where possible enhancing</u> Seeking to conserve and enhance the natural, locally distinctive and heritage landscape qualities and characteristics of the district including hedgerows, ancient woodland and shaws, as informed by the East Sussex County Landscape Assessment and the Lewes District Landscape Capacity Study;</p>	To reflect discussions at the Hearings.

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

<p>AM42</p>	<p>Section 7 (Core Delivery Policies)</p> <p>Core Policy 10 p.102</p>	<p>Amend criterion 1.ii. to read:</p> <p>ii Ensuring that new development will not harm <u>nature</u> conservation interests unless the benefits of development <u>at that location</u> clearly outweigh the harm caused. In such cases appropriate mitigation and compensation will be required.</p>	<p>For clarity and to reflect discussions with Natural England.</p> <p>Note – this supersedes MOD43</p>
<p>AM43</p>	<p>Section 7 (Core Delivery Policies)</p> <p>Core Policy 10 p.102</p>	<p>Amend criterion 1.iii. to read:</p> <p>iii. Maintaining and where possible enhancing Seeking the conservation, enhancement and net gain in local biodiversity resources including through maintaining and improving wildlife corridors, ecological networks and avoiding habitat fragmentation in both rural and urban areas;</p>	<p>To reflect discussions at the Hearings.</p>
<p>AM44</p>	<p>Section 7 (Core Delivery Policies)</p> <p>Core Policy 11 p.103</p>	<p>Amend Key Strategic Objectives Inset Box by deleting the words ‘, including through the implementation of the highest feasible construction techniques in new developments,’ and inserting the words ‘and is proactive regarding climate change initiatives’ to read:</p> <p>To ensure that the district reduces locally contributing causes of climate change and is proactive regarding climate change initiatives</p>	<p>To reflect the Hearing discussions and the Housing Standards Review</p>
<p>AM45</p>	<p>Section 7 (Core Delivery Policies)</p> <p>Paragraph 7.110 p.106</p>	<p>Amend paragraph to read:</p> <p>Fluvial flooding from the River Ouse and inundation from the sea are the primary flood risks in the district. However, there are also other more limited flood risks that nonetheless can have a significant impact on homes and businesses, such as surface water flooding <u>and groundwater flooding</u>, with some areas more susceptible than others. Many of these areas <u>of surface</u></p>	<p>To reflect discussion at the Hearings to include reference to groundwater flooding,</p>

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

		<p><u>water flood risk</u> have been identified in the <u>East Sussex</u> Preliminary Flood Risk Assessment. This has been prepared by East Sussex County Council, <u>which is</u> , which has an emerging role as the Lead Local Flood Authority. As part of this role, the ESCC <u>is responsible for</u> will take on the management of surface water flooding risk and <u>is a statutory consultee on surface water drainage matters for major development proposals. Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS). All development proposals will be required to demonstrate how they will manage surface water drainage in line with the hierarchy of drainage options set out in the national Planning Practice Guidance and the non-statutory Technical Standards³. Proposed drainage systems will be required to be supported with a maintenance schedule, including the identification of the body responsible for maintenance and demonstrating the ongoing funding and maintenance of drainage systems. The local planning authority will use planning conditions or planning obligations, as appropriate, to secure the ongoing maintenance and operation of approved drainage systems.</u></p>	<p>which also occurs in the district. To update ESCC's role and requirements as Lead Local Flood Authority.</p>
AM46	<p>Section 7 (Core Delivery Policies)</p> <p>Core Policy 12, p.108</p>	<p>In criterion 5 delete 'liaise with' and replace with 'consult' to read:</p> <p>5. Seeking the appropriate management of surface water run-off and ensuring there is no increase in surface water run-off from new developments. This will include requiring new development to incorporate Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS), unless it is demonstrated that SuDS are not technically appropriate. The local planning authority will <u>consult</u> liaise with East Sussex County Council, the lead local flood authority, on the whole life management and maintenance of SuDS.</p>	<p><u>To update ESCC's role as lead local flood authority as a statutory consultee for major development.</u></p>
AM47	<p>Section 7 (Core Delivery Policies)</p>	<p>Amend Key Strategic Objectives Inset Box by deleting the words ', including through the implementation of the highest feasible construction techniques in new developments,' and inserting the words 'and is proactive regarding climate</p>	<p>To reflect the Hearing discussions</p>

³ [Sustainable Drainage Systems non-statutory technical standards for sustainable drainage systems March 2015 DEFRA https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/415773/sustainable-drainage-technical-standards.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/415773/sustainable-drainage-technical-standards.pdf)

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

	Core Policy 13, p.109	change initiatives' to read: To ensure that the district reduces locally contributing causes of climate change and is proactive regarding climate change initiatives	and the Housing Standards Review.
AM48	Section 7 (Core Delivery Policies) Core Policy 14, p.111	Amend Key Strategic Objectives Inset Box by deleting the words ', including through the implementation of the highest feasible construction techniques in new developments,' and inserting the words 'and is proactive regarding climate change initiatives' to read: To ensure that the district reduces locally contributing causes of climate change and is proactive regarding climate change initiatives	To reflect the Hearing discussions and the Housing Standards Review.
AM49	Section 7 (Core Delivery Policies) Paragraph 7.123 p.112	Amend paragraph to read: A Renewable Energy & Low Carbon Development Study has been prepared as part of the evidence for the Core Strategy. Part of the output from this study is an Energy Opportunities Map, which identifies the renewable and low carbon technologies that are most viable in different parts of the plan area. The map is shown below included in Appendix 6 .	To reflect discussions at the Hearings that the map should be enlarged and improved for better legibility and relocated to the Appendices.
AM50	Section 7 (Core Delivery Policies) Paragraph 7.124 p.112-113	Delete the Energy Opportunities Map.	Consequent to AM49
AM51	Section 7 (Core Delivery Policies)	Update the paragraph to read:	To update to reflect the

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

	Paragraph 7.128 p114	The Housing Standards Review and the new technical standards for housing Code for Sustainable Homes only applies to residential development and there will also be new non-residential development coming forward in the district. Therefore, the core policy sets building sustainability standards for non-residential development, as supported by the Renewable Energy & Low Carbon Development Study.	Housing Standards Review
AM52	Appendix 1	Insert definition of Intermediate housing in the glossary: <u>Intermediate housing - homes for sale and rent provided at a cost above social rent, but below market levels subject to the criteria in the Affordable Housing definition in the National Planning Policy Framework. These can include shared equity (shared ownership and equity loans), other low cost homes for sale and intermediate rent, but not affordable rented housing.</u>	For clarity.
AM53	Appendix 1	Delete the 'Code for Sustainable Homes' definition from the glossary.	To reflect the updated position.
AM54	Appendix 2, p.126	Amend the Peacehaven section of the table by inserting PT16 in the column titled ' <i>Saved</i> 2003 Local Plan policies that the Core Policy replaces and deleting PT16 from the column titled ' <i>Saved</i> 2003 Local Plan policies to retain.	Consequent to MM14
AM55	Appendix 3	Delete the existing table from p127 through to 136 and insert the replacement modified monitoring and delivery framework table as shown below from p26 to 35 of this schedule.	To reflect the modifications as necessary for plan monitoring.
AM56	Appendix 4, p.137	Amend the second sentence in the text by deleting the numeral 5,600 and inserting numeral 6,900 in its place.	Consequent to MM01
AM57	Appendix 4, p.137	Update the text to reflect the modified housing number and to reflect the position as at 1 April 2015 and the 2014 SHLAA.	Consequent to MM02

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

		<p>Delete the 1 April 2013 housing trajectory graph.</p> <p>Insert updated Housing Trajectory as at 1 April 2015 graph below:</p>	
AM58	Additional Appendix 6	Insert new 'Appendix 6 – Energy Opportunities Map' with enlarged and more legible map as deleted from paragraph 7.124 (modified map as shown on p36 below)	Consequent to AM50
AM59	Proposals Map 1 - Lewes	Amend Proposals Map 1 to insert the strategic housing allocation at Old Malling Farm, Lewes.	Consequent to MM05
AM60	Proposals Map 2 – Newhaven	Amend Proposals Map 2 to reflect the strategic housing allocation at Harbour Heights, Newhaven.	Consequent to MM13

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

AM61	Proposals Map 3 -Peacehaven	Amend Proposals Map 3 to insert the strategic housing allocation at Lower Hodderm Farm, Peacehaven. Delete 2003 Local Plan allocation PT16.	Consequent to MM14
------	--------------------------------	---	-----------------------

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

AM55 Modified Joint Core Strategy Appendix 3 – Monitoring and Delivery Framework

Spatial Policies 1 – 86 (all policies collectively monitored)		
Collectively, the Spatial Policies are expected to make a contribution towards all of the strategic objectives (Note: targets identified for subsequent core policy areas will also be used to monitor the effectiveness of the spatial strategy).		
Target	Indicators	Current Position
To deliver a minimum of 5,600 <u>6,900</u> net additional dwellings between 2010 and 2030 (280 <u>345</u> per annum) and maintain a sufficient housing land supply.	<p>Cumulative number of dwelling completions (net)</p> <p>Total number of housing completions for previous monitoring year (net)</p> <p>Housing land supply – position</p> <p>Number of dwellings permitted on unidentified windfall sites per annum.</p> <p>Number of dwellings permitted on rural exception sites</p> <p>A review of the Spatial Policies 1 and 2 <u>and 8</u> will be triggered in April 2022 if the required transport mitigation measures to accommodate the additional 440 homes at Peacehaven/Telscombe have not been identified to solve capacity constraints on the A259 to the satisfaction and agreement of the local highway authority</p>	<p>As at April 2013: 626 net completions (209 p/a average for plan period)</p> <p>2012/13: 218</p> <p>As at January<u>April</u> 201<u>5</u>4: 87<u>106.46</u>% of a 5 year housing land supply requirement (+5%) when calculated against the Core Strategy housing requirement</p> <p>Not monitored yet – to be monitored</p> <p>Not monitored yet – to be monitored</p>
To explore opportunities for increasing housing delivery so that the projected level of housing need is more closely met.	A review of Spatial Policies 1 and 2 will be undertaken in the event that the current cross-authority work examining housing potential within the Sussex Coast Housing Market Area and adjoining areas identifies sub-regional housing delivery options that could be delivered within or partially within the Lewes District plan area. The timetable for this work is	N/A

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

	currently not <u>currently</u> available (but is expected by the time the JCS is submitted for examination).	
To deliver 74,000 sq metres of employment floorspace (gross) between 2012 and 2031	Amount of floorspace developed for employment land (gross) Cumulative amount of floorspace developed for employment land (gross)	2012/13: 1,597m² Not monitored yet – to be monitored

Core Policy 1: Affordable Housing		
Core Policy 1 will aim to meet the following the Objectives		
• Objective 2		
Target	Indicators	Current Position
District wide target of 40% Affordable Housing provision (on developments exceeding 10 of 11 dwellings <u>or more</u>)	Gross number of affordable housing completions per annum	2012/13: 38
	Percentage of affordable dwellings completed	2012/13: 45.78%
	<u>Percentage of applications of 10</u> 1 units or more meeting 40% affordable housing target	2012/13: 50% (this figure reflects 10 dwellings or more – subsequent monitoring will reflect the policy requirement of 11 or more)
	<u>Average house price by type</u>	2014 Q2: <u>All – £299,435</u> <u>Detached - £389,632</u> <u>Semi-detached - £276,114</u> <u>Terraced - £251, 436</u> <u>Flat/maisonette - £198,584</u>
	<u>Average construction cost by development type (construction cost £/m²)</u>	Not yet monitored on a regular basis – to be monitored on an annual basis using the most cost-effective source available. Current position is available: <u>4th Q 2013 - Residential, 2-5 bed, code 4 - £1,021</u>
<u>A review of Core Policy 1 will be considered in the event of a greater than 10% drop in house prices and/or a significant increase in build costs. In such an event, any decision with reasoning as to whether or not to review the policy will be published by the District Council and National Park</u>		

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

	Authority.	
To reduce the number of households on the Council Housing Register	Number of households currently on the Council Housing Register	2013: 2,543

Core Policy 2 – Housing Type, Mix and Density		
Core Policy 2 will aim to meet the following the Objectives		
• Objective 1		
Target	Indicators	Current Position
Provide a range of dwelling types and sizes to meet the identified local need	Household spaces and accommodation type as a percentage % Number of C2 dwellings permitted and completed	2011: - Whole house/bungalow (detached) – 35.1% - (semi-detached) – 26.5% - (terraced) – 19.1% - Flat, maisonette or apartment (flats/tenement) – 14.3% - (part of a converted/shared house) – 3.3% - (in commercial building) – 1.3% - (caravan or mobile/temporary structure) – 0.5% Not monitored yet – to be monitored
Provide flexible and adaptable accommodation and encourage require Lifetime Homes standards on a minimum of 10% of homes in new build residential developments of 11 units or more homes	Percentage of affordable dwellings built to Lifetime Homes Standards	Not monitored yet – to be monitored
Achieve residential densities in the region of 47 – 57 dwellings per hectare for towns and 20 – 30 dwellings per hectare for villages	Average density of new house building, dwellings per hectare (dph) Average density of residential developments over 6 units for i) towns and ii) villages (planning applications received not completions) Percentage of new dwellings completed at: less than 30dph; between 30 and 50dph; and above 50dph	2012/13: 69 dph 2012/13: Average for towns: 77 Average for villages: 28 2012/13: Less than 30 dph – 38%; Dwellings between 30 and 50 dph – 31%; Dwellings above 50 dph – 31%

Core Policy 3 – Gypsy & Traveller Accommodation
Core Policy 3 will aim to meet the following the Objectives

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Objective 1 Objective 6 		
Target	Indicators	Current Position
<p>To provide a net total of 135 Gypsy & Traveller pitches between 2006 and 2019 <u>2014 and 2030*</u> to meet the identified need <u>as identified in the GTAA Update</u></p> <p>*pitch requirements for the plan period to be updated once the current Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople requirements update results are known-</p>	<p><u>Pitches granted planning permission since 20062014 in the area of Lewes District outside of the SDNP</u></p> <p>Pitches granted planning permission since 20<u>14 in the area of Lewes District within the SDNP</u></p> <p>Number of pitches allocated in the Site Allocations and Development Policies DPD</p>	<p><u>Not monitored yet – to be monitored</u></p> <p>As at 2013: 4 Pitches</p> <p>Not monitored yet – to be monitored</p> <p><u>Not monitored yet – to be monitored</u></p>
<p>To meet the long term need identified in the updated GTAA</p>	<p>Identified need not available yet</p>	<p>Not monitored yet – to be monitored</p>

Core Policy 4 – Encouraging Economic Development and Regeneration		
Core Policy 4 will aim to meet the following Objectives		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Objective 2 Objective 10 		
Target	Indicators	Current Position
Identify sufficient sites to meet current and future needs (including office space)	Net amount of floorspace developed for employment land	2012/13: -225m ²
No loss of employment land unless there are <u>demonstrable economic</u> viability or environmental <u>amenity</u> reasons for not doing so (see policy wording)	<p>Net and gross employment land supply (hectares that have planning permission)</p> <p>Loss of employment land in local authority area.</p>	<p>2012/13: Net 1.63 Gross 2.79</p> <p>2012/13: Net loss of 225 m²</p>
Encourage sustainable tourism and promote growth in this sector	<p>Number of jobs in the tourism sector</p> <p>Contribution to the District's economy made by visitors – turnover of local businesses</p>	<p>2012: 3,399</p> <p>2012: £177,223,000</p>
To bring about improvements to the condition of existing and future employment premises and to encourage sustainable working practices	<p>Number of business enterprises by age of business: Less than 2 years old</p> <p>Number of Local</p>	<p>2013: 500</p> <p>None</p>

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

	<p>Development Orders</p> <p>Percentage of residents working at or from home</p> <p>Percentage of all people in employment travelling less than 5km to work</p>	<p>2011: 14.3%</p> <p>2001: 31.7%</p>
Support opportunities for the up-skilling of the Districts labour supply	<p>Percentage of adults with degree level (or equivalent) qualifications</p> <p>Numbers of Adult learners</p>	<p>2011: 37.3%</p> <p>2008/09: 2,638</p>

Core Policy 5 – The Visitor Economy

Core Policy 5 will aim to meet the following Objectives

- Objective 2
- Objective 4
- Objective 5
- Objective 7
- Objective 10

Target	Indicators	Current Position
To promote the growth of the tourism sector: improving linkages; the quality and number of visitor attractions; accommodation	Contribution to the District's economy made by visitors – turnover of local businesses	2012: £171,223,000
	Number of day visitors to the district	2012: 3,034,000
	Number of jobs in the tourism sector	2012: 3,399

Core Policy 6: Retail and Sustainable Town and Local Centres

Core Policy 6 will aim to meet the following Objectives

- Objective 6
- Objective 10

Target	Indicators	Current Position
Ensuring town and local centres and essential services are accessible through sustainable transportation methods	Average minimum travel time (minutes) to the nearest service by public transport/walking	2011: Employment, 10; Food stores 9; FE College, 22; GP's, 11; Hospitals, 43; Primary Schools, 9; Secondary School, 14; Town Centre, 15.
	Average minimum travel time (minutes) to the nearest service by cycling	2011: Employment, 7; Food stores 6; FE College, 20; GP's, 6; Hospitals, 33; Primary Schools, 5; Secondary School, 8; Town Centre, 13.
	Access to town centres (percentage of households)	2011: Public Transport/walking, 55.3%; Cycle, 63.3%; Car, 99.7%

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

	who have access to a town centre within 15 minutes via different transport modes)	
To promote the vitality and viability of the district and town centres, but where local shops and facilities are no longer viable, consider for alternative uses.	<p>Retail unit vacancy rate in town centres</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Lewes town centre - Newhaven town centre - Peacehaven SCR - Peacehaven Meridian Centre - Seaford town centre <p>Net amount of completed retail development (sq m)</p>	<p>2012</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Lewes Town Centre (TC) – 5.2% - Newhaven TC – 21% (2009) - Peacehaven SCR – 10 % - Peacehaven Meridian Centre – 6.25% - Seaford TC – 7.2% <p>2012/13: -819.56m²</p>

Core Policy 7: Infrastructure		
Core Policy 7 will aim to meet the following Objectives		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objective 3 • Objective 7 		
Target	Indicators	Current Position
To maintain and enhance the level of provision of community facilities/services	Net loss/gain (completions) of community services and facilities (d1 and D2) in the past year (sq m)	2012/13 – 807.66 m²
To ensure essential infrastructure is provided for by the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)	List of infrastructure projects funded by CIL in the past year	Not monitored yet – to be monitored
	Amount of CIL funds received per annum	Not monitored yet – to be monitored
	Infrastructure improvements identified in Infrastructure Delivery Plan implemented	Not monitored yet – to be monitored

Core Policy 8: Green Infrastructure		
Core Policy 8		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objective 2 • Objective 3 • Objective 5 • Objective 7 		
Target	Indicators	
To protect and enhance the quality of open space within the district	Number and extent of SNCIs and LNRs	2011: SNCIs – 115, 1,235 hectares (4.2% of District) 4 LNRs – 354 hectares (1.2% of District)
	Condition of internationally and nationally important wildlife and geological sites (SSSIs and SACs)	2013: SSSIs – 16 (2,437 hectares of land): 99.4% of SSSI land favourable or unfavourable but recovering, 0.4% unfavourable and stable,

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

		<p>0.2% unfavourable and declining.</p> <p>2013: SACs – 2: Castle Hill – 114.52 hectares (both in Lewes District and Brighton & Hove). 100% of SAC land favourable. Lewes Downs – 161.29 hectares. 97.12% of SAC land favourable or unfavourable but recovering. 2.88% of SAC land unfavourable but declining.</p>
--	--	---

Core Policy 9: Air Quality

Core Policy 9 will aim to meet the following Objectives

- Objective 7
- Objective 8

Target	Indicators	Current Position
To reduce the total number of Air Quality Management Areas (AQMA's)	Number of Air Quality Management Areas	2015 : 24 (Lewes Town Centre and Newhaven Town Centre)
To ensure that annual mean Nitrogen Dioxide levels in any designated AQMA's do not rise year on year	Annual Mean Nitrogen Dioxide Levels	2013: Lewes AQMA: 19 ug/m3
To improve air quality through the promotion of suitably located new development/services and through sustainable transport	<p>Mode of travel to work</p> <p>Number of large development completions estimated to be within 30 minutes of public transport and walking/cycling journey time of services</p> <p>Average minimum travel time (minutes) to the nearest service by public transport</p>	<p>2001: Private vehicle, 63%; Public Transport, 16%; Foot or Cycle, 13%; People who work at or mainly at home, 8%; Other, 0.6%.</p> <p>2012/13: 100%</p> <p>2011: Employment, 10; Food stores 9; FE College, 22; GP's, 11; Hospitals, 43; Primary Schools, 9; Secondary School, 17; Town Centre, 15.</p>

Core Policy 10: Natural Environment & Landscape Character

Core Policy 10 will aim to meet the following Objectives

- Objective 2
- Objective 4
- Objective 5

Target	Indicators	Current position
--------	------------	------------------

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

<p>To ensure that international, national and local designations are conserved and enhanced to a high quality</p>	<p>Condition and size of internationally and nationally important wildlife and geological sites (SSSIs and SACs)</p> <p>Area of land designated as Site of Nature Conservation Interest (SNCI) - district</p> <p>Area of Land designated as Local Nature Reserve</p>	<p>2013: SACs – 2: Castle Hill – 114.52 hectares (both in Lewes District and Brighton & Hove). 100% of SAC land favourable. Lewes Downs – 161.29 hectares. 97.12% of SAC land favourable or unfavourable but recovering. 2.88% of SAC land unfavourable but declining.</p> <p>2013 - 99.4% of SSSI's considered favourable or unfavourable but recovering; 0.4% unfavourable and stable; 0.2% unfavourable and declining.</p> <p>2011 - 1235 (ha)</p> <p>2011 – 354 (ha)</p>
<p>To seek a net gain in biodiversity resources, therefore contributing to the targets set out in the Sussex Biodiversity Action Plan</p>	<p>Total number of SAC's, SSSI's, SNCI's and LNR's designations</p> <p>Total area (m²) of land designated as SAC's, SSSI's, SNCI's and LNR's</p>	<p>2011 – 137 (2 SAC's; 16 SSSI's; 115 SNCI's; 4 LNR's)</p> <p>2011 – 4,301 (ha)</p>

Core Policy 11: Built & Historic Environment and High Quality Design

Core Policy 11 will aim to meet the following Objectives

- Objective 4
- Objective 8

Target	Indicators	Current Position
<p>To improve sustainable construction standards year on year <u>Adequately address the need to reduce resource and energy consumption</u></p>	<p>Cumulative number of Code for Sustainable Homes certificates issued</p> <p>A new <u>indicator has not been established at this point but the district council, and SDNP, will look to introduce an effective way of monitoring this target at the earliest opportunity</u></p>	<p>2012 – 44 (total to date; 2007-2012) <u>Not monitored yet – to be monitored by LDC</u></p>
<p>The safeguarding of historic assets</p>	<p>Number of Listed Buildings part-demolished/ demolished</p>	<p>Not monitored yet – to be monitored by LDC</p>

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

Core Policy 12: Flood Risk, Coastal Erosion, Sustainable Drainage and Slope Stability		
Core Policy 12 will aim to meet the following Objectives		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Objective 9 		
Target	Indicators	Current Position
To steer development away from areas of flood risk and coastal erosion	Number of planning applications granted contrary to the advice on the Environment Agency flood defence grounds (fluvial & tidal)	2012 - 0
To incorporate Sustainable Urban Drainage Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuUD's) into new development where appropriate	Percentage of appropriate developments incorporating sustainable urban drainage systems	Not monitored yet – to be monitored

Core Policy 13: Sustainable Travel		
Core Policy 13 will aim to meet the following objectives:		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Objective 3 Objective 6 Objective 7 Objective 8 		
Target	Indicators	Current Position
To ensure that new development is located in sustainable locations with good access to services	Average minimum travel time (minutes) to the nearest service by public transport/walking	2011: Employment, 10; Food stores 10; FE College, 22; GP's, 11; Hospitals, 43; Primary Schools, 9; Secondary School, 14; Town Centre, 15.
	Average minimum travel time (minutes) to the nearest service by bicycle	2011: Employment, 7; Food stores 6; FE College, 20; GP's, 6; Hospitals, 33; Primary Schools, 5; Secondary School, 8; Town Centre, 13.
	Amount of large residential development within 30 minutes public transport time of a GP, hospital, primary and secondary schools, areas of employment and a major health centre(s)	2012/13: 100%
Improvements to facilities allowing the uptake of sustainable travel (walking/cycling/public transport)	Percentage who travel to work by public transport	2011: 15.4%
	Net increase/decrease in rights of way	2012 – 352 miles
Year on year increase in the number of people travelling to work by sustainable	Number of people travelling to work by public transport	2011: 6,974

Schedule 4 – Additional Modifications

modes of transport		
--------------------	--	--

Core Policy 14 – Renewable and Low Carbon Energy and Sustainable Use of Resources		
Core Policy 14 will aim to meet the following Objectives		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Objective 1 • Objective 6 • Objective 8 		
Target	Indicators	Current Position
To support low carbon and renewable energy installations	<p>Number of planning applications received and granted consent relating to renewable energy installations</p> <p>Carbon Dioxide emissions per capita per sector: Total emissions (kt)</p>	<p>2012/13: 10 (plus 1 solar related application withdrawn)</p> <p>2011: 488</p>
All new dwellings to achieve Code for Sustainable Homes Level 4 in relation to water consumption <u>Require all new dwellings to achieve water consumption of no more than 110 litres per day per person</u>	<p>Percentage of new dwellings which meet Code Level 4 in relation to water consumption</p> <p><u>Percentage of new dwellings meeting the required water consumption standard</u></p>	Not monitored yet – to be monitored
All new non-residential developments over 1,000 square metres will be expected to achieve the BREEAM 'very good' rating standard.	Percentage of new non-residential developments over 1,000 square metres which achieve a BREEAM design certificate rating of 'very good'	Not monitored yet – to be monitored

AM58 Modified Energy Opportunities Map to be inserted as and A3 fold-out page in additional Joint Core Strategy Appendix 6.

